Electrical and Thermal Conductivity of Cyclic Natural Rubber/Graphene Nanocomposite Prepared by Solution Mixing Technique
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Abstract: Thermal and electrical conductivity studies of Cyclic Natural Rubber nanocomposite with graphene 1 and 2 phr (G1 and G2), and modified 1 and 2 graphenes (mG1 and mG2) have been carried out. Graphene was activated with cetrimonium bromide (CTAB), was isolated from Sawahlunto coal (Bb) by the Hummer modification method. The nanocomposite was fabricated through the mixing solution method using xylene as a solvent. The characterizations of nanocomposites, which were performed by Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) reveal an interaction between graphene, CTAB, and the CNR matrix. Furthermore, the results of Scanning Electron Magnetic (SEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis indicate that the particle size becomes smaller, and the particle distribution is more in accordance with CTAB. Thermal analysis of nanocomposites using Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) showed an increase in thermal conductivity from 3.0084 W/mK to 3.5569 W/mK. Analysis of electrical conductivity using the Two-Point Probe shows 2 phr mG (mG2) capable of increasing electrical conductivity from 0.1170 × 10⁻⁴ S/cm to 0.2994 × 10⁻⁴ S/cm.
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INTRODUCTION

The addition of a small amount of graphene to the polymer matrix was found to increase the electrical conductivity of nanocomposites significantly [1-2]. The electrical conductivity of the nanocomposite can be increased by chemically reducing GO [3-4]. Pure graphene is not compatible with all types of organic polymers, and composites obtained have inhomogeneous morphology.

On the other hand, the surface functionalization of graphene can increase the dispersion of graphene into the polymer matrix and also increase the interaction between phases between graphene and the polymer matrix [5-6]. Graphene functionalization has good solubility in organic solvents and good interface interaction with organic polymers [6]. Another reason for graphene functionality significance is that hydrophobic graphene usually forms irreversible agglomeration and produces graphite through van der Waals bonds. This phenomenon has the effect of reducing the surface area of graphene and reducing the electrical properties of graphene [7].

Kim et al. showed an increase in dispersion between styrene butadiene (SBR) latex and multi-layer graphene/CTAB. Multi-layer graphene is more effective
and stably dispersed in the SBR matrix by CTAB because of the electrostatic attraction between fillers and negatively charged colloidal SBR particles [7]. Based on this, CTAB-graphene functionalization is used to enhance interface interactions in the matrix [8-9].

CNR has weak adhesion properties to polar surfaces [10-11] as a non-electrically conductive material, and it has a great potency to develop CNR as a conductive paint. Many previous studies employed natural rubber nanocomposite as a matrix and graphene or functionalized graphene as a filler [12-14], but for graphene fillers obtained from Sawahlunto coal, it has never been reported before. Cyclic Natural Rubber (CNR) has also never been reported as a matrix with graphene fillers. This study used CNR as an insulator as a matrix and graphene from Sawahlunto coal as a nanofiller. The resulting nanocomposite is expected to be a conductive paint resin.

Therefore, the aim of this research is to prepare and evaluate the electrical and thermal conductivity of CNR/graphene composite by looking at the effect of the amount of graphene and modification of graphene, which is added as nanofiller.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### Materials

Materials used were natural graphite (coal) from Sawahlunto River Parambahan village of Stone Tanjung District of Talawi Cit, Central Sawahlunto, West Sumatra, Indonesia. The Cyclic Natural Rubber (CNR) obtained from PT PTPN III, Sumatera Utara – Indonesia. Sulfuric acid 98%, sodium nitrate, potassium permanganate, hydrochloric acid 36%, hydrogen peroxide, CTAB were all of analytical-grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (USA), demineralized water and xylene were purchased from Bratachem, Medan-Indonesia.

#### Procedure

**Synthesis of graphene oxide and graphene from Sawahlunto’s coal**

The fine powder of Sawahlunto’s coal was placed in an oven at 125 °C for 24 h. The powder was converted into GO by the oxidation process. The amount of 1 g of graphite Sawahlunto’s coal (g-Bb) and 50 mg of sodium nitrate was introduced into 70 mL of sulphuric acid (98 wt.%), the reaction was placed in the ice bath. After that, as many as 3 g of KMnO₄ was added into the solution gradually. The solution was stirred for 2 h, and it was diluted using deionized water. The 30% of hydrogen peroxide was added into the previous solution until the color was turned into bright yellow. It indicated that the oxidation process of graphite was perfectly done. The mixture was filtrated and washed with dilute HCl to remove the other mineral. The pH of the previous solution was neutralized using deionized water. The obtained graphite oxide dispersion was treated using ultrasonication with frequency 50–60 Hz for 90 min [7,15-16]. The brown color of water dispersion indicated the presence of a stable Nano sheet’s graphene oxide (GO-Bb). The reduction process of the exfoliation results (GO-Bb900) was performed using the 1% NaBH₄ in 0.2% NaOH. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and 6 M HCl was added drop by drop until the air bubbles were disappeared. After that, the precipitate was formed and then washed with DM water until the pH was neutral. The precipitate was then dried at 100 °C for 12 h. Finally, the graphene powder was successfully manufactured from Sawahlunto coal (G-Bb900). The obtained graphene oxide (GO-Bb) and graphene (G-Bb) were evaluated using XRD, SEM, TEM and FT-IR analysis.

**Graphene functionalization and nanocomposite preparation**

Two gram of graphene oxide (GO-Bb) was dispersed in 100 mL of deionized water that assisted with ultrasonication. On the other side, 2 g of CTAB was dissolved in 100 mL of ethanol. Those two solutions were mixed and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. This treatment was continued with the addition of 10 mL of 1 wt.% NaBH₄ and 0.2 wt.% NaOH and stirred for 24 h. The obtained black powder (mG) was washed several times using a mixture of deionized water and ethanol with the ratio 1:1, and the powder was dried at 60 °C until the weight was constant [17-18]. The composite was prepared using solution mixing between CNR and mG. The amount of 1 and 2 phr mG was dispersed in 100 mL of xylene using ultra-sonication for 1 h. Then, as many as 10 g of CNR was added into that dispersion and stirred...
until CNR was perfectly dissolved [13]. The solution was cast into an acrylic plate and dried at room temperature for 72 h. The obtained nanocomposites were labeled as m-G1 and m-G2 that referred to the addition of 1 and 2 phr of mG.

**Characterization**

The FT-IR spectra of the as-prepared GO and nanocomposite were determined using ATR-IR Bruker Alpha Platinum with the wavenumber range between 4000–500 cm\(^{-1}\). The wide-angle X-Ray diffractogram of GO and nanocomposite was evaluate using Shimadzu 7000 with the source of irradiation K\(\alpha\) Cu. The scan speed was 2°/min, and the range of 2\(\theta\) was 7°–70°. The morphology and microstructure of GO and nanocomposite were determined using SEM JEOL 6510(LA), accelerating voltage of 20.00 kV, magnification \(\times 2,500\) and TEM JEM-1400, accelerating voltage of 120 Volt, magnification 150,000, while the thermal conductivity was measured using DSC-60, flow rate 30 mL/min, scanning from 25 to 600 °C at the rate 15 °C/min. The measurement of GO and nanocomposite’s electrical resistivity was conducted using a two-point probe.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**The Characterization of GO**

Fig. 1(a) shows the diffractogram of graphite of (g-Bb), graphene oxide (GO-Bb), and graphene (G-Bb) obtained from Sawahlunto’s coal. For the graphite, it shows a peak at 2\(\theta\) of 24.58° with \(d\)-spacing 0.1776 nm. The graphene oxide shows a peak at different 2\(\theta\), around 11.08°, with the value of \(d\)-spacing of 0.4008 nm [18]. The shifting of a peak to the lower value of 2\(\theta\) and the increase of the \(d\)-spacing value were caused by the presence of some functional group in graphene oxide, i.e., epoxy and carbonyl. The reduction process of graphene oxide was conducted using 1 wt.% NaBH\(_4\); as a result, the signal is shifting to 24.0° of 2\(\theta\). This value of 2\(\theta\) indicated that the graphene had been successfully obtained by the reduction process of graphene oxide. The increase of 2\(\theta\) value in graphene also gives an impact on the decrease of \(d\)-spacing value to be 0.1894 nm due to the disappeared of epoxy and carbonyl group in graphene [19-21].

The FT-IR spectra of graphene oxide and graphene can be seen in Fig. 2(a). The particular peak of graphene oxide can be found at 3354, 2086, 1621, 1163 and 1040 cm\(^{-1}\). The peak at 3354 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the presence of hydroxyl group or van der Waals interaction between water and graphene oxide. The second peak at 2086 cm\(^{-1}\) confirmed the presence of hydroxyl group or van der Waals interaction between water and graphene oxide. The second peak at 2086 cm\(^{-1}\) confirmed the presence of vibration of C=O stretching from aldehyde, ketone, and ester. The C=C bonding of aromatic and aliphatic also can be found at 1597 cm\(^{-1}\). The last peak was found in 1163 and 1040 cm\(^{-1}\), which indicated the presence of C-O-C and C=C-H or Ar-H [22-24].

![Fig 1.](image-url)
Kumar et al. (2014) have already synthesized graphene from bituminous. The FT-IR of the reduced graphene oxide showed the functional group that contains oxygen almost disappeared. The particular peak of graphene in this research showed a similarity with the previous work, and it appears at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) that refers to the skeleton of graphite that was not perfectly oxidized [18].

Fig. 1 (b) showed the crystallographic of G1 and mG that dispersed in the CNR matrix. There is a shifting signal at 2\(\theta\) of 24° and 14° that is caused by the graphene’s intercalating in the non-polar matrix of CNR. In the nanocomposite mG/CNR, there is no particular signal of mG; it is dominated by the signal of material, which has a long carbon structure [25], i.e., CNR, but the presence of CNR increase the crystallinity of nanocomposite [26]. The other characteristic of nanocomposite was identified using FT-IR to show the functional group that presence in the material. Fig. 2(b) shows the FT-IR spectra of CNR, G1/CNR, and mG1/CNR; those spectra have a similar peak at 2920–2925 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1441–1452 cm\(^{-1}\) that indicated the presence of vibration of C-H stretching and C-H bending. The disappeared of the peak at 1139, and 1721 was interpreted as the formation of C-H and C=C that obtain from the interaction between graphene and CTAB [27].

Graphene modification was conducted reacting graphene oxide with CTAB, which was then reduced using NaBH\(_4\). In a graphene peak of 1441 cm\(^{-1}\), it shows C-OH groups originating from unreduced graphene oxide and not bound to CTAB [28]. The spectra of mG1/CNR FTIR also showed almost the same peak, the presence of CTAB was also marked at peak 1453 cm\(^{-1}\) [29]. Similarly, the two peaks of G1/CNR and mG1/CNR state that graphene modification only occurs on the surface of the graphene plane.

The dispersion of unmodified graphene and modified graphene in a CNR matrix were investigated using SEM and TEM (Fig. 3 and 4). SEM micrographs show that most xylene the matrix area is not filled with graphene filler (Fig. 3(a)) [29]. Modified graphene with CTAB has a better surface because mG looks more evenly spread on the CNR matrix.

Fig. 3 shows the nanocomposite microstructure, as shown in the picture, the particle of graphene (G) and modified graphene (mG) in the CNR’s matrix has good distribution. Meanwhile, in Fig. 4, the particle of CNR and the nanocomposite is obtained as agglomer. The single particle size in the agglomer of CNR is 25 nm. However, after the addition of graphene or unmodified graphene, the particle size of a single particle of nanocomposite has changed to be 27 nm, and the addition of modified graphene has changed the particle size of a single particle of nanocomposite significantly, to be 6.2 nm. The increase of particle size after the addition of unmodified graphene is due to the incompatibility of
those two materials. The effect of graphene surface modification using CTAB has a big impact on the enhancement of compatibility between graphene and CNR, evidenced by a significant change in the decrease in nanocomposite and graphene particle size well bound to the CNR matrix [3-4,27,29].

The Thermal and Electrical Conductivity of the Nanocomposite

Thermal conductivity

The differential scanning calorimetry has been used to measure the thermal property of nanocomposite. Based on the literature, graphene has good thermal conductivity; it was about ~5000 W/mK and has been utilized as a nanofiller in the polymer matrix to enhance the thermal conductivity property. Also, in the previous research graphene has been combined with natural rubber with a good thermal conductivity property [29].

Thermal DSC becomes one technique used to measure the thermal conductivity of solid material by measuring the curve slope. The equation below can be used to determine the thermal conductivity:

\[
\text{Slope} = \frac{d\Delta P}{\Delta T_p} = \frac{2}{R}
\]

where \(\Delta P\) is the differential value of heat flow after subtracted with the baseline, \(T_p\) is the temperature of DSC during measurement, and \(R\) is the total of resistance [30-31]. The thermal DSC and regression curve along with thermal conductivity of CNR matrix after the addition of unmodified and modified graphene can be seen in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively.
Fig 6. Thermal conductivity of CNR and nanocomposite measured by DSC

After the addition of unmodified graphene, the thermal conductivity value increased to 4.0203 W/mK, but after the addition of 1 phr of modified graphene, the value decreased to 3.1635 W/mK and observed an increase in being after the addition of 2 phr modified graphene. This decrease in thermal conductivity of graphene modification 1 phr (mG1/KAS) is due to the non-polar long alkyl group on CTAB [32].

The addition of unmodified graphene to the CNR matrix reduced the CNR Tg from 96.21 to 95.02 °C. Also, there was a slight decrease in Tg with modified graphene from 96.21 to 92.83 °C and 94.21 °C for 1 phr and 2 phr of mG. Furthermore, the Tg showed that modified graphene did not show a significant effect on changes in matrix Tg. However, the modified graphene Tg was much lower than the unmodified graphene. This is due to the fact that modified graphene is more integrated into the CNR matrix than unmodified graphene [31-32].

Electrical conductivity

The electrical conductivity is the ability of a material to conduct electricity. Graphene, with its electrical conductivity, is able to change the polymer property from an insulator to be a conductive material [29]. Fig. 7 shows the change of electrical conductivity after the addition of unmodified and modified graphene in the NR matrix, which is an insulator.

Fig 7. Glass transition temperature (Tg) of nanocomposite

Fig 8. The result characterization of G/CNR and mG/CNR nanocomposite using Two-Point Probe method

Based on Fig. 8, the addition of 1 and 2 phr of unmodified graphene into the CNR matrix contribute to an increase in the thermal conductivity of CNR to be 0.1781 and 0.2453 × 10⁻⁶ S/cm. After the addition of modified graphene with the same concentration, it gave a value of 0.2262 and 0.2994 × 10⁻⁶ S/cm. Based on this value, unmodified and modified graphene changes the electrical property of CNR from an insulator to be...
This trend shows that the increase in electrical conductivity is depending on the concentration of unmodified and modified graphene. The low value of this electrical conductivity was caused by the presence of the agglomeration process between CNR and the unmodified and modified graphene (Based on TEM result) [33-34].

**CONCLUSION**

The fabrication of nanocomposites with Cyclic Natural Rubber (CNR) as a matrix, and graphene oxide (isolated from Sawahlunto coal) mixed with cetrimonium bromide (CTAB) cationic surfactant, which was then reduced with NaBH₄ with the solution mixing method was conducted and was proven to be able to increase the CNR/graphene nanocomposite functionalization. The nanocomposite electrical conductivity was measured by using the Two-point probe. The resulted non-polar CNR matrix with the addition of unmodified graphene filler and modified graphene was able to increase the electrical conductivity into semiconductor nanocomposite. By using Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC), it shows an increase in thermal conductivity with the addition of unmodified graphene and modified graphene from CNR.
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