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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we analyze the condition among province in Indonesia especially about the convergence or divergence in gross domestic regional product. This research used secondary data for the 1988-2008 periods. We divide the periods as four episodes, based on the presidential terms. They are 1988-1999, 1999-2001, 2001-2004, and 2004-2008. Entropy Theil index, coefficients of variation, Kuznets’ hypothesis test, absolute convergence, and conditional convergence were used in this research. This research found that the convergence in gross domestic regional product happened in every period of the presidential leadership in Indonesia. We also found that regional economic growth in Indonesia is determined by gross domestic regional product per capita, oil and gas resources, general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds.
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INTRODUCTION

Regional development is an integral component of national development. Regional development is intended as an effort to ensure equal distribution of regional development with the sole purpose to balance and harmonize or reduce the disparity of growth between regions in order to support the overall success of national development (Sibero, 1985: 4). The fact that each region has its own natural resources, human resources and geographical conditions, therefore each region owns the potential to grow rapidly and vice versa, some regions lack the ability to develop due to the several limitations they must bear with.

In the 1988-2008 period, four provinces have a GDRP per capita which is dominated by on oil and gas. These provinces comprise of Riau, Islands of Riau, DKI Jakarta, and East Kalimantan. DKI Jakarta has the highest GDRP per capita although it is not an oil and gas producer; however it is the capital city which establishes itself as the centre for all economic activity. The province of Riau, Islands of Riau, and East Kalimantan have a dominant GDRP per capita since these provinces are oil and gas producers. The Islands of Riau is the 32nd province that was established based on Law No. 25 Year 2002 (Provincial government of Islands of Riau, 2009). This is displayed in Figure 1 that presents the GDRP per capita of oil and gas producing provinces in Indonesia.

Overall, it could be stated that no differences are significantly evident for each period. Provinces with oil and gas GDRP per capita above average include Riau, DKI Jakarta, and East Kalimantan along with a number of new provinces for example the Islands of Bangka Belitung and the Islands of Riau. The Islands of Bangka Belitung (established in 2000) and the Islands of Riau (established in 2002) always indicated a
GDP per capita for oil and gas above average since the establishment of those provinces.

Based on the data of GDP per capita for non-oil and gas, it is apparent that from the period 1988-2008, three provinces are dominant in non-oil and gas GDP per capita. These provinces include the Islands of Riau, DKI Jakarta, and East Kalimantan. Domination of these three provinces, namely the Islands of Riau, DKI Jakarta, and East Kalimantan is presented in Figure 2.

Overall, it can be stated that no differences are evident for each period. The provinces that owned a non-oil and gas GDP per capita above average for each period includes North Sumatera, DKI Jakarta, Central Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, and Papua along with other new provinces for example the Islands of Bangka Belitung and the Islands of Riau. The Islands of Bangka Belitung (established in 2000) and the Islands of Riau (established in 2002) always indicated a GDP per capita for non-oil and gas above average since the establishment of those provinces.

With regard to economic growth of Indonesian provinces for the period 1988-2008, fluctuations are apparent for regional economic growth. Regional economic growth has even reached the negative level. This was particularly evident in the post economic crises (year 1999). Regional economic growth fluctuation is displayed in Figure 3.

Figure 1. GDP per capita of Oil and Gas Producing Provinces in Indonesia Period 1988-2008

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)
Figure 2. GDRP per Capita for Non-Oil and gas producing provinces in Indonesia Period 1988-2008

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)
Description: 1. Data for oil and gas
2. Negative economic growth is not evident in the graphic

Figure 3. Graphic on Economic Growth of Indonesian Provinces, Period 1988-2008

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)
Description: 1. Data for oil and gas
2. Negative economic growth is not evident in the graphic
Provinces with GDRP per capita above average do not guarantee economic growth above average. Conversely, the provinces with GDRP below the average do not automatically indicate economic growth below average. This demonstrates that less developed provinces are able to catch up with the progress of advanced provinces.

Disparities between regions constitute a serious problem. Recent studies indicate that disparities between regions exist, whether between countries or between regions within a country. The lower levels of disparities between regions imply that convergence has taken place, or conversely, larger disparities imply divergence.

Based on these elaborations, the researcher aims to analyze the disparities and convergence of GDRP per capita of the provinces in Indonesia during five presidential terms. By using these periods as a point of reference, disparities between provinces in Indonesian between each presidential term can be determined.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Studies concerning disparities between regions have been conducted both abroad and in Indonesia itself. The studies include Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995); Quah (1996); Arifin and Kuncoro (2002); Hill, et al. (2009), and etc. Matrix of the literature review of regional disparities is displayed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researcher</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995); "Empirical Analysis of a Cross Section of Countries". | Cross-section analysis | 1965-1985 | - Positive correlation between GDP growth with the variables initial GDP per capita, educational level, life expectancy, investment in education, investment ratio.  
- Negative correlation between growth level with government spending, market distortion, political instability, birth rate, and population growth. |
| Quah (1996); "Regional Convergence Clusters Across Europe". | Cross-section analysis | 1980-1989 | Geographical factors are more likely to influence the dynamics of income distribution between regions in Europe. |
| Haryanto (2001); "Indonesia Regional Economic Development: A Neoclassical Growth Analysis". | Coefficients of variation, absolute convergence, and conditional convergence | 1983-1998 | Convergence of GDRP per capita in Indonesia supported by the increase of capital accumulation in impoverished regions, provision of infrastructure, increasing the quality of the workforce through investment in education, technological transfer towards small scale industries, and control on population growth. |
| Arifin and Kuncoro (2002); “Spatial Concentration and the dynamics of Manufacturing Industries in East Java”. | Geographical information system, logistic regression. Panel data analysis, convergence analysis | 1994-1999 | - Industrial growth in east Java is not distributed equally between each district.  
- Factors that influence growth include costs of workers, export orientation, output, competition index, dummy crisis, and dummy industry. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Todaro and Smith (2003); “Evidence on the Inverted-U Hypothesis”</td>
<td>Kuznets Hypothesis Tests</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>The Kuznets Hypothesis proven on developing countries consisting of Bangladesh, Kenya, Sri Lanka, Indonesia, Philippines, Jamaica, Paraguay, Costa Rica, Malaysia, and Brazil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuncoro (2004a); “Are There Changes in Spatial Concentration of Manufacturing Industries in Indonesia, 1976-2001?”</td>
<td>Entropy Theil Index and Chow Tests</td>
<td>1976-2001</td>
<td>The pattern of spatial disparity forms a “U” curve which reflects a period of dispersion of manufacturing activities which have been replaced by a period of increased geographical concentration. In the Javanese Island, the trend of spatial concentration is strongly explained by the degree of differences between the workforces in the districts/cities within a particular province. Chow tests provide evidence that structural changes have been made following the year 1997.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, et al. (2005); “Income Disparity between Japan and ASEAN-5 Economies: Converge, Catching Up or Diverge?”</td>
<td>ADF unit root test</td>
<td>1960-1997</td>
<td>Divergence of income between Japan and each member of ASEAN-5 (Indonesia, Malaysia, Singapore, Philippines, and Thailand).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, et al. (2009); “Economic Geography of Indonesia: Location, Connectivity, and Resources”</td>
<td>Coefficients of variation and absolute convergence</td>
<td>1975-2002</td>
<td>Diverse economic and social outcomes, however growth and social progress is taking place. High regional disparities with tendencies of declining. Bali, DKI Jakarta, East Kalimantan, and Riau constitute the provinces with good performance; these provinces are diverse with respect to their location size and social and economic characteristics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The matrix above allows the researcher to draw the differences and similarities of the current study with the recent studies that have been conducted. The current study is similar with respect to the analysis methods that are used. These analyses methods include the Theil Entropy Index, coefficients variation, Kuznets Hypothesis Tests, absolute convergence, and conditional convergence. However, the current study is distinguished from previous studies with regard to the study period. This study will analyze convergence/
divergence for each Indonesian presidential term. Accordingly, these periods are divided as 1988-1999 (President Soeharto and President B.J. Habibie), 1999-2001 (President Abdurrahman Wahid), 2001-2004 (President Megawati Soekarnoputri), and 2004-2008 (President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono). The presidential term of Soeharto and B.J. Habibie are combined since B.J. Habibie only served one year as the Indonesian president. Convergence analysis cannot be performed on periods of one year because the result of the estimations will be biased. By using these period, differences of inter-regional disparities can be observed based on each presidential term.

METHODOLOGY

The analysis methods used in this study comprises of analysis of the Theil entropy index, coefficients of variation, Kuznets hypothesis tests, absolute convergence, and conditional convergence (see Figure 4).

1. Theil Entropy Index Analysis

The Theil entropy index is used to measure economic disparity. The Theil entropy index allows the researcher to discover the degree of economic disparity in Indonesia. The concept of entropy was introduced by Henri Theil. This concept basically serves as an application towards the concept of information theory in measuring economic disparity and industrial concentration (Kuncoro, 2002: 87).

The Theil entropy index is calculated using the following formula (Theil and Friedman, 1973: 533):

\[ I(y) = \sum (y_j / Y) \times \log[(y_j/Y) / (x_j/X)] \]  

Given that:
- \( I(y) \) = Theil entropy index
- \( y_j \) = GDRP per capita for province j
- \( Y \) = average GDP per capita of Indonesia
- \( x_j \) = total population of province j
- \( X \) = total population of Indonesia

2. Coefficients of Variation Analysis

The coefficients of variation are made by calculating the dispersion of the GDRP per capita between provinces in Indonesia. Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995: 31) suggested that dispersion is measured with the following variance estimations:

Figure 4. Research Method
\[
D_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\log(y_{it}) - \mu_t]^2
\]  
(2)
given that \(D_t\) represents the dispersion or disparity of income per capita for period \(t\), \(\mu_t\) represents the logarithm for the average GDRP per capita for the provinces in Indonesia for period \(t\), and \(\log(y_{it})\) represents the logarithm of GDRP per capita for province \(i\) on period \(t\), \(N\) refers to the total number of provinces.

3. Kuznets Hypothesis Tests

The data of GDRP per capita and Theil entropy index is used to prove the validity of the Kuznets Hypothesis in Indonesia for the period 1988-2008. The Kuznets hypothesis suggests that the preliminary phases of economic growth is marked by poor economic growth and income distribution and that income distribution in the subsequent stages would be better. Income distribution in the early stages is due to the natural characteristics of structural change. In line with Lewis’s model, the preliminary stages are concentrated on modern industrial sectors, where work opportunity is limited but wage and productivity is high (Todaro, 2003: 214-215).

4. Absolute and Conditional Convergence Analysis

This study will analyze the absolute convergence and conditional convergence. The equations used in the absolute convergence analysis adopt the model from Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995). The equations are as follows:

\[
\Delta y_{it,t+T} = \alpha - \beta \log(y_{it}) + \epsilon_{it,t}
\]  
(3)
\[
\Delta y_{it,t+T} = \log(y_{it+T}/y_{it}) / T
\]  
(4)
given that \(y\) indicates the Gross Domestic Regional Product (GDRP) per capita, \(i\) indicates the province, and \(t\) as well as \(t+T\) indicates the observation from two time periods.

Absolute convergence analysis is also accompanied with the conditional convergence analysis. This is because economic growth is not merely influenced by GDRP per capita. If only the absolute convergence analysis was performed, the model would be biased towards the issue of specificity bias.

The equation that is used on the conditional convergence analysis is as follows:

\[
\Delta y_{it,t+T} = \alpha - \beta \log(y_{it}) + \gamma \text{OIL} + \eta \text{DAU}_t + \lambda \text{DBH}_t + \epsilon_{it,t}
\]  
(5)
\[
\Delta y_{it,t+T} = \log(y_{it+T}/y_{it}) / T
\]  
(6)
given that \(y\) indicates the GDRP per capita; \(i\) indicates the province; \(t\) and \(t+T\) indicates the observation of two time periods; \(\text{OIL}\) indicates the dummy variable (1 referring to provinces with oil and gas resources while 0 refers to the provinces without oil and gas resources); \(\text{DAU}\) indicates the proportion of general fund allocation towards the balanced funds; and \(\text{DBH}\) indicates the proportion of revenue sharing towards the balanced funds. Funds from revenue sharing that are used in this study refer to the total funds of revenue sharing, which is a combination of revenue sharing funds from tax and non-tax resources (natural resources). The rationale for using these variables in estimation is based on Classical and Neo-Classical economic perspectives that economic growth is influenced by natural resources and the total stock of capital goods. The oil and gas dummy variable represents natural resources, while general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds represent total stock of capital goods. The current study hypothesizes that the oil and gas dummy variable, general allocation funds, and revenue-sharing funds will positively influence regional economic growth.

General allocation funds and revenue sharing funds are terms that are used following the establishment of Law No. 25 Year 1999 about Fiscal Balance between the Central and Regional Government. Before the enactment of this law, the terms Inpres Dati I and II, and
tax and non-tax revenue sharing was used (Kuncoro, 2004b: 12). In the current study the term general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds were used for each research period.

The $\beta$ value or speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence (time required to cover half of the initial disparity) are obtained from the following calculations (Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 1995):

$$\beta = \left[\ln(b + 1)\right]/-T \quad (7)$$

$$\text{The half-life} = (1 - \log(2)) / \beta \quad (8)$$

$$= 0.69 / \beta \quad (9)$$

Analysis in the study was initiated using the theil entropy index and coefficients variation analysis. The results of the coefficients variation analysis was expected to support the results of the Theil entropy index analysis concerning disparity. In addition, the Kuznets Hypothesis tests were performed. Absolute convergence and conditional convergence analysis was subsequently performed using cross-section analysis. Conditional convergence analysis was also conducted by using panel data analysis, considering the weaknesses that are frequently apparent in cross-section analysis. The conclusion concerning convergence and divergence will be based on the results of these analyses.

ANALYSES RESULTS

1. Analyses Results for the Theil Entropy Index

Table 2 indicates the Theil entropy index that represents the disparity of GDRP per capita for oil and gas and non-oil and gas in Indonesia during the period 1988-2008. Based on the data of GDRP per capita for oil and gas, the disparity tends to decrease in Indonesia for the period 1988-2008. The value of the theil entropy index in 1988 is as large as 0.411 and declines to 0.352 on 2008. The Theil entropy index have once experienced an increase in 2004, however it remains below the Theil entropy index in 1988.

Table 2. Indonesian Theil Entropy Index, 1988-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Oil and gas</th>
<th>Non-oil and gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)

The results of the analyses confirm studies conducted by Akita and Lukman (1995) and Wibisono (2003). Akita and Lukman (1995) analyzed the Theil entropy index for the period 1975-1992 and found that disparities in Indonesia have continuously declined. A similar suggestion was made by Wibisono (2003) who analyzed the Theil entropy index for the time period 1975-2000. He found that the disparities in this period had continuously declined.

In contrast with the Theil entropy index for the GDRP per capita for oil and gas, the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for non oil and gas tends to increase for the period 1988-2008. The Theil entropy index on 1988 is as large as 0.267 and rises to 0.326 in 2008. Although having a tendency to increase, the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas have smaller values compared to the Theil entropy index for the GDRP per capita for oil and gas.

The decreasing Theil entropy index indicates lower disparity among regions. The tendency for the reduction of the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for oil and gas and the increase of the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas is presented in Figure 5.
The value of the Theil entropy index which tends to decrease indicates the lower disparity. The lower disparity is likely to be caused by the efforts of accelerating development in less developed regions, although the results are not fully enjoyed by those regions. Less developed regions still face some problems like human resources with low education rate, lack of infrastructure, and social conflicts. The problems caused by some inappropriate policy which didn’t support development in less developed regions.

For the period 1988-2008, the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas tends to increase. However, the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas is smaller compared to the Theil entropy index for GDRP per capita for oil and gas. This finding demonstrates that oil and gas resources expand the disparities of GDRP per capita in Indonesia. Provinces with more oil and gas resources enjoy higher GDRP per capita compared to the provinces lacking oil and gas resources. In general, mining sector still as important sector for many provinces. In case of non-oil and gas, mining sector still faces many problems which is related with social, politic, and regulation problems (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, 2007). These problems cause the contribution of mining sector for non-oil and gas not optimal. In this case, mining sector for oil and gas more dominant than mining sector for non-oil and gas.

2. Results of Coefficients of Variation Analysis

The results of the coefficients of variation analysis demonstrate the dispersion of GDRP per capita in Indonesia. The dispersion of GDRP per capita for oil and gas in Indonesia for the period 1988-2008 tends to decline. Dispersion from year 1988 was as large as 0.0885 and declined to 0.0768 in 2008. The dispersion of GDRP per capita once experienced an increase in 2004, nevertheless it remained below the dispersion value in 1988. The increase of dispersion of GDRP per capita in 2004 was in line with the increased disparities in Indonesia on that period. For the year 2001, GDRP per capita dispersion for oil and gas and non-oil and gas indicate values that are not largely different. Such findings demonstrate that on those periods, disparities in Indonesia were not only influenced by factors of oil and gas resources, but they were
also influenced by other economic factors. The results of coefficients of variation analysis are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Coefficients of Variation for GDRP per capita in Indonesia, 1988-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Coefficients of Variation</th>
<th>Oil and Gas</th>
<th>Non oil and gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>0.0885</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0.0749</td>
<td>0.0538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>0.0752</td>
<td>0.0545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>0.0573</td>
<td>0.0551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.0841</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.0768</td>
<td>0.0622</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)

The results of the coefficients of variation analysis are consistent with the Theil entropy index analysis. There is a tendency to decline with regard to dispersion and disparities of GDRP per capita for oil and gas for the period 1988-2008.

Opposite conditions were evident for dispersion of GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas in Indonesia. Dispersion for the period 1988-2008 tended to increase. This was also the case for the Theil entropy index, although tendencies of increasing were evident, the value of the coefficients of variation for GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas remains below the coefficients of variation value for GDRP per capita for oil and gas. This difference indicates that the presence of oil and gas resources in particular provinces increase the dispersion of GDRP per capita in Indonesia. Figure 6 presents the tendencies of the dispersion of GDRP per capita for oil and gas to reduce and the dispersion of GDRP per capita for non oil and gas to increase.

Dispersion of GDRP per capita is influenced by economic growth and other national macroeconomic conditions. Fluctuating economic growth encourages dispersion of GDRP per capita’s fluctuation. Figure 7 demonstrates the fluctuation of economic growth in Indonesia for the period 1988-2008.

Based on Figure 7, during Soeharto’s presidential term, Indonesia’s economic growth always reached above 5% and could even reach 8%. The initial phases of the economic crisis were marked by declining economic growth. The economic growth in
1996 was 8.62% (based on GDRP for oil and gas) and 8.16% (based on GDRP for non oil and gas) and then experienced a reduction in 1997 to 4.7% (based on GDRP for oil and gas) and 5.23% (based on GDRP for non oil and gas). In 1998, Indonesia experienced negative economic growth of -13.13% (based on GDRP for oil and gas) and -14.22% (based on GDRP for non oil and gas). In 1998, Indonesia experienced negative economic growth of -13.13% (based on GDRP for oil and gas) and -14.22% (based on GDRP for non oil and gas). This period was marked by the transition from the President Soeharto to B.J. Habibie. At the presidential terms of B.J. Habibie, Abdurrahman Wahid, and Megawati Soekarnoputri, of which marked the post economic crisis period, positive economic growth was evident although remain below 5%. Economic growth above 5% was only achieved at the leadership of President Soesilo Bambang Yudhoyono—although economic growth had yet to achieve pre-crisis standards.

Based on the Theil entropy index and the coefficients of variation analysis, we can see the consistency between the two analysis. Figure 8 demonstrates the consistent results of the Theil entropy index and the coefficients of variation for GDRP per capita for non oil and gas. This consistency implies that when the dispersion of GDRP per capita increases therefore disparities will also increase, and vice versa. When the dispersion of GDRP per capita increases and the disparity declines, this means that inconsistency has taken place. Inconsistency has taken place in the year 1999, 2001, and 2008 for the data GDRP per capita for non oil and gas.
Based on the Pearson correlation analysis, the Pearson correlation for the Theil entropy index and the coefficients of variation for oil and gas is as large as 0.760 with a significance level of 0.068, which is significant at $\alpha=10\%$. The results demonstrate a positive relationship between the disparity and dispersion of GDRP per capita for oil and gas. Currently, while the dispersion of GDRP per capita rises, the disparity also increases. Conversely, when the dispersion of GDRP per capita declines, the disparity also declines.

The results of the Pearson analysis for the variable Theil entropy index and the coefficients of variation for non oil and gas indicates no correlation between the Theil entropy index and the coefficients of variation for the data non oil and gas. This is indicated by the Pearson correlation value as large as 0.566 with a significance of 0.160 therefore not significant on $\alpha=10\%$. The insignificance between the Theil entropy index and the coefficients of variation for non oil and gas demonstrates the inconsistency between disparity and dispersion of GDRP per capita for non oil and gas. In some periods, increases in dispersion of GDRP per capita is not accompanied by increases in disparity, in contrast, the disparity rather declines.

3. Kuznets Hypothesis Tests

Data from GDRP per capita and the Theil entropy index can be utilized to prove the validity of the Kuznets hypothesis in Indonesia for the period 1988-2008. By using the cubic regression method without a constant in the equation, Figure 9 is obtained which indicates the relationship between GDRP per capita and the Theil entropy index for the oil and gas data. The curve forms a U-inverse. The cubic regression method without a constant in the equation produces an estimation of the higher R-squared value compared to the cubic regression method using a constant in the equation, or the linear regression method with or without a constant in the equation (results of the estimation are attached).

The curve above indicates that the Kuznets hypothesis applies in Indonesia. The Kuznets hypothesis states that in the early stages of economic growth, the distribution of income tends to be poor and the following stages will indicate more favourable distribution of income. Distribution of income will be poor in the early stages because of the natural characteristics of structural change (Todaro and Smith, 2003: 214-215).

Based on the intersection between the assisting lines with the maximum point of the

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)

Figure 9. Relationship between GDRP per capita and the Theil Entropy Index in Indonesia, 1988-2008
curve, the level of the GDRP per capita where disparities begin to decline can be determined. Disparity will decline when the GDRP per capita is larger than Rp 3,958,337.00. If the GDRP per capita remained below Rp 3,958,337.00, the disparity will not decline.

Similar to proving the Kuznets hypothesis for the oil and gas data, proving the validity of the Kuznets hypothesis for the non oil and gas data also uses the cubic regression without a constant in economics. By using cubic regression without a constant in the equation the Figure 10 is obtained of which indicates the relationship between GDRP per capita and the Theil entropy index for the non oil and gas data.

The cubic regression without a constant in the equation produces an estimation with a R-squared value higher than the value when using the cubic regression with a constant or linear regression with or without a constant (results of the estimation are attached). Although a smooth U-inverse is not apparent, nevertheless this curve indicates a non-linear correlation therefore allowing the researcher to accept the notion that the Kuznets hypothesis is proven.

Based on the intersection between the assisting line and the maximum point of the curve, the GDRP level where disparity will begin to decline can be determined. Disparity will decline when the GDRP per capita is as large as Rp 4,797,889.00. If the GDRP per capita remains below Rp 4,797,889.00, the disparity will not decline.

4. Results of the Absolute Convergence and Conditional Convergence Analysis

The convergence analysis in this study comprises of absolute convergence and conditional convergence. Table 4 presents the results of the absolute convergence analysis. Based on the results of the absolute convergence analysis, in 1988-1999 and 2004-2008, convergence took place in Indonesia. Convergence in Indonesia demonstrates that less developed provinces were developing more rapidly than already advanced provinces and therefore able to chase the progress of the more advanced provinces. The result of the analysis for the period 1988-1999 is consistent with the analysis of Hill et al. (2009). Based on Hill et al’s study (2009), 1986-1992 and 1992-1997 period, convergence occurred in Indonesia.

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)

**Figure 10.** Relationship between GDRP per capita and Theil Entropy Index in Indonesia, 1988-2008
Convergence in 1988-1999 took gradually as large as 1.32% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, it would require 52 years to cover half of the disparities that existed. The speed of convergence for 2004-2008 was more rapid compared to convergence in 1988-1998. The speed of convergence in 2004-2008 was as large as 1.61% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, it would require 43 years to cover half of the disparities that existed. Table 5 indicates the speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence (time required to cover half of the initial disparity) for each period where convergence had taken place.

Table 5. Speed of Convergence and the Half-Life of Convergence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Speed of Convergence</th>
<th>The Half-Life of Convergence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988-1999</td>
<td>1.32%</td>
<td>52 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2008</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
<td>43 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)

Description:
- Convergence in 1988-1999 took gradually as large as 1.32% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, it would require 52 years to cover half of the disparities that existed. The speed of convergence for 2004-2008 was more rapid compared to convergence in 1988-1998. The speed of convergence in 2004-2008 was as large as 1.61% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, it would require 43 years to cover half of the disparities that existed. Table 5 indicates the speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence (time required to cover half of the initial disparity) for each period where convergence had taken place.
- Table 5 indicates the speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence (time required to cover half of the initial disparity) for each period where convergence had taken place.

Table 4. Results of the Absolute Convergence Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0,058821 (0,138967)</td>
<td>0,515867 (0,859974)</td>
<td>-1,270227 (-2,644669)</td>
<td>-0,082389 (-0,323537)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDRP per capita 1988)</td>
<td>-0,135348** (-2,071550)</td>
<td>-0,113026 (-1,262692)</td>
<td>0,132407* (1,846635)</td>
<td>-0,066670* (-1,779033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDRP per capita 1998)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDRP per capita 1999)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDRP per capita 2001)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,132407* (1,846635)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDRP per capita 2004)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0,066670* (-1,779033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0,151683</td>
<td>0,062295</td>
<td>0,108566</td>
<td>0,095431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0,116337</td>
<td>0,023223</td>
<td>0,076729</td>
<td>0,065278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>4,291319**</td>
<td>1,594391</td>
<td>3,410061*</td>
<td>3,164957*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS (1988-2008)

Description:
- Regression uses the ordinary least squares method. Figures in the parentheses indicate the t-Statistic. The * indicates that the independent variable (or F-statistic) is significant on \( \alpha=10\% \) and ** indicates that the independent variable (or F-statistic) is significant on \( \alpha=5\% \).
The result of the absolute convergence analysis for 2001-2004 requires further analysis using conditional convergence. Regional economic growth is not merely influenced by GDPR per capita and therefore further analysis is required by inserting additional explanatory variables beyond GDPR per capita.

It is difficult to know for certain whether convergence or divergence had taken place in 1999-2001. This is because the results of the estimation did not pass significance testing. The insignificant results indicate that the other variables beyond the model have greater influence towards economic growth. In addition, the insignificant results of the estimation can also be due to volatile national macro-economic conditions.

Table 6 indicates the results of the conditional convergence analysis in Indonesia for the period 1988-1999, 1999-2001, 2001-2004, and 2004-2008. Based on the results of the conditional convergence analysis, in 1988-1999, 1999-2001, and 2004-2008, convergence had occurred in Indonesia. Convergence in Indonesia indicates that disadvantaged provinces were developing more rapidly compared to already advanced provinces and therefore are able to pursue the progress of advanced provinces.

Convergence in 1988-1999 occurred with a speed of 2.51% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, 27 years would be required to cover half of the disparities that existed. In this period, the variable GDPR per capita and revenue sharing funds significantly influenced regional economic growth. Revenue sharing funds positively influenced regional economic growth. This confirms Classical and Neoclassical economical perspectives that stock of capital goods influences economic growth. Other variables-for example oil and gas resources and general allocation funds-did not influence regional economic growth. Table 7 indicates the speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence (time required to cover half of the initial disparity) for each period where convergence had taken place.

Speed of convergence in 1999-2001 was as large as 14.37%. Based on the speed of convergence, 5 years would be required to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.717296</td>
<td>1.381726</td>
<td>-0.878704</td>
<td>-0.010884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.501665)</td>
<td>(1.525561)</td>
<td>(-1.525843)</td>
<td>(-0.034739)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDPR per capita)</td>
<td>-0.240930**</td>
<td>-0.249800*</td>
<td>0.079913</td>
<td>-0.095536*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-3.204310)</td>
<td>(-1.800896)</td>
<td>(0.850277)</td>
<td>(-1.825944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dummy variable oil and gas</td>
<td>-0.065971</td>
<td>0.106974**</td>
<td>0.022147</td>
<td>0.034378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-1.658282)</td>
<td>(2.159604)</td>
<td>(0.460170)</td>
<td>(1.517147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Allocation Funds</td>
<td>0.003487</td>
<td>-1.608185</td>
<td>-1.068349</td>
<td>10.51408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.005717)</td>
<td>(-1.287406)</td>
<td>(-1.091913)</td>
<td>(1.643579)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Sharing Funds</td>
<td>10.79701*</td>
<td>8.292718</td>
<td>2.820010</td>
<td>0.086505**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.051984)</td>
<td>(0.798944)</td>
<td>(1.450118)</td>
<td>(2.134258)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.452660</td>
<td>0.320585</td>
<td>0.181686</td>
<td>0.307734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.343192</td>
<td>0.184703</td>
<td>0.018024</td>
<td>0.157242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>4.135085**</td>
<td>2.359277*</td>
<td>1.110126</td>
<td>2.044846*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS and Department of Finance (1988-2008)

Description:
Regression uses the ordinary least squares method. Figures in the parentheses indicate the t-Statistic. The * indicates that the independent variable (or F-statistic) is significant on α=10% and ** indicates that the independent variable (or F-statistic) is significant on α=5%.
cover half of the disparity. The results of the conditional convergence analysis demonstrates that regional economic growth in 1999-2001 was not only influenced by the variable GDRP per capita but influenced by other variables, for example oil and gas resources (represented by the dummy variable oil and gas in the estimation). The presence of the oil and gas resources supports regional economic growth. Provinces which own oil and gas resources will have higher economic growth compared to provinces which have less oil and gas resources. This confirms Classical and Neoclassical economic perspectives that natural resources serve as factors which influence a regions economic growth.

Table 7. Speed of Convergence and the Half-Life of Convergence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Speed of Convergence</th>
<th>The Half-Life of Convergence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988-1999</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>27 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>14.37%</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2008</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>27 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS and Department of Finance (1988-2008)

Description:
The estimation results for 2001-2004 cannot indicate whether convergence or divergence had occurred in Indonesia because the estimation results did not pass significance tests.

In 2004-2008, speed of convergence was 2.51% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, 27 years would be required to cover half of the disparities. Economic growth in 2004-2008 was influenced by the variable GDRP per capita and revenue sharing funds. Revenue sharing funds positively influenced regional economic growth. Increased revenue sharing funds distributed for each region will push economic growth in each region.

In 2001-2004, it cannot be identified whether convergence or divergence occurred. This was indicated by the results of the estimation which did not pass significance tests even with the insertion of additional explanatory variables. Insignificant estimation results are frequently encountered in cross sectional regressions, as was found in Wibisono (2003). The common difficulties encountered in cross-section regression are due to the explanatory variables which are vulnerable to measurement errors. This is influenced by volatile national macroeconomic conditions.

With regard to the difficulties in cross-section regression, conditional convergence analysis was also conducted using panel data. By using panel data, the additional sample information is provided. Information which is in chronological order is useful for variables that are highly diverse between different periods of time.

The conditional convergence analysis using panel data is performed by dividing the research period to the 1988-2000 period and 2001-2008 period. This division is based on the enactment of Government Regulation No 84 Year 2001 to replace Government Regulation No 104 Year 2000 concerning Balance Funds. The enactment of Government Regulation No 84 Year 2001 resulted in the different methods of calculating balance funds (Kuncoro, 2004b: 30).

The result of the conditional convergence analysis using the panel data for 1988-2000 indicated that convergence had occurred in Indonesia and therefore allowing the variables influencing regional economic growth to be determined. These variables comprise of general allocation funds and revenue-sharing funds. The dummy variable of oil and gas does not influence economic growth for 1988-2000. The result of the conditional convergence analysis for 1988-2000 is presented in Table 8.

The result of the conditional convergence analysis for 1988-2000 show that convergence had occurred in Indonesia and therefore allowing the variables influencing regional economic growth to be determined. For the
period 1988-2000, these variables comprise of general allocation funds and revenue-sharing funds. The dummy variable of oil and gas does not influence economic growth. Otherwise, the dummy variables of oil and gas, general allocation funds, and revenue sharing funds influenced regional economic growth for the period 2001-2008.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Pooled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log (Real GDRP Per Capita)</td>
<td>-0.112811***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-15.53734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dummy Variable Oil and Gas</td>
<td>-0.079923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-1.389470)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Allocation Funds</td>
<td>1.99***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.518476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Sharing Funds</td>
<td>1.55**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.224997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.571124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.543154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>20.41905***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS and Department of Finance (1988-2008)

Description:
The regression uses the GLS method with cross section weights without using intercepts for estimation to obtain an estimation model that would pass significance tests. The figures in the parentheses indicate the t-Statistic. *** indicates that the independent variable is significant at $\alpha=1\%$ and ** indicates that the independent variable is significant at $\alpha=5\%$.

The significance of general allocation funds variable and revenue sharing funds variable indicate that the existence of general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds that are distributed to each province will push economic growth in those provinces. General allocation funds and revenue sharing funds for each province serves as capital to develop the region. The estimation results are consistent with Classical and Neoclassical economic perspectives that the total stock of capital goods serve as factor that influence economic growth of a region. The insignificance of the dummy variable oil and gas indicates that the assumption that provinces with oil and gas resources will have higher economic growth compared to provinces with less oil and gas resources is not valid for the period 1988-2000. On the contrary, the significance of the dummy variable of oil and gas indicates that the existence of oil and gas resources pushes regional economic growth, therefore confirming the hypothesis that provinces owning oil and gas resources will have higher economic growth compared to the provinces without oil and gas resources.

Based on the conditional convergence analysis, the speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence (time required to cover half of the initial disparity) can be determined. Table 9 indicates the speed of convergence and the half-life of convergence for the period 1988-2000 and 2001-2008.

Table 9. Speed of convergence and The Half-Life of Convergence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Speed of convergence</th>
<th>Half-Life of Convergence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1988-2000</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>69 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2008</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>60 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Processed from BPS and Department of Finance (1988-2008)

Speed of convergence for the period 1988-2000 was as large as 0.99% per year. Based on the speed of convergence, it requires 69 years to cover half of the disparities that exist. The period 2001-2008 indicates a more rapid convergence compared to the period 1988-2000. The speed of convergence for the period 2001-2008 was as large as 1.15%. Based on the speed of convergence, it requires 60 years to cover half of the disparities that exist.

Based on the results of the convergence analysis for the periods 1988-2000 and 2001-2008, regions convergence occurred in Indonesia. The variables of general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds significantly
influenced regional economic growth for each period. This shows the dominant role of general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds on regional economic growth. In addition, this also indicates that no different roles exist between general allocation funds and revenue sharing funds towards regional economic growth for the period 1988-2000 (before the enactment of Government Regulation No. 84 Year 2001) or the period 2001-2008 (after the enactment of Government Regulation No. 84 Year 2001).

CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

1. Conclusions

The Theil entropy index analysis allows the researcher to identify that disparities of GDRP per capita for oil and gas for the period 1988-2008 tended to decline, while disparities for GDRP per capita for non oil and gas tended to increase. Although having a tendency to increase, the level of disparity of the GDRP per capita for non-oil and gas were smaller compared to disparity of GDRP per capita for oil and gas.

The results of the coefficients of variation analysis is consistent with the results of the Theil entropy index, which indicates a tendency of declining with regard to dispersion and disparity of GDRP per capita for oil and gas for the period 1988-2008. Opposite conditions are evident for the dispersion of GDRP per capita of non oil and gas in Indonesia. Dispersion for the period 1988-2008 tended to increase.

The results of the Kuznets hypothesis tests indicate that for the period 1988-2008, the Kuznets hypothesis is valid in Indonesia. In the initial stages of economic growth, the distribution of income was poor and the following stages indicated more favourable outcomes of income distribution.

Based on the absolute convergence analysis and the conditional convergence analysis, it can be concluded that in each presidential term in Indonesia, convergence of GDRP per capita had occurred. The absolute convergence analysis for the period 2001-2004 indicates divergence of GDRP per capita. The results of the absolute convergence analysis for those periods cannot be simply concluded this way because regional economic growth is not solely influenced by GDRP per capita, but also other explanatory variables that also contribute in influencing regional economic growth. Therefore, the conclusion of this study is drawn from the conditional convergence analysis using panel data. The conditional convergence analysis using the panel data is more favourable to analyze a region’s convergence compared to absolute convergence and conditional convergence using the cross-section method. This is because conditional convergence using panel data also involves other explanatory variables beyond GDRP per capita and therefore making the analysis more accurate in resembling actual conditions, in addition to overcoming problems that are frequently encountered within cross-section analysis. The results of the conditional convergence analysis using panel data, indicates that convergence took place in Indonesia during the observation period. Overall, the variables that influence regional economic growth in Indonesia include GDRP per capita, oil and gas resources, general allocation funds, and revenue sharing funds.

2. Policy Implications

Based on the findings of the study, the government should accelerate the process of convergence. Based on data from the Ministry for Development of Disadvantaged Region, disadvantaged regions in Indonesia are spread across 183 districts. The details of these figures are spread among numerous regions, namely Sumatera with 46 districts, Java and Bali with 9 districts, Kalimantan with 16 districts, Sulawesi with 34 districts, Nusa
Tenggara with 28 districts, Maluku 15 districts, and Papua 35 districts. Almost 70% of disadvantaged regions are located on East Indonesia (Ministry for Development of Disadvantaged Region, 2010). With regard to the managing the problem of disadvantaged regions, the programs formulated by the government should meet the actual conditions and needs of each region. Development programs would succeed in a region when the policies are appropriate for the conditions of the particular region.

The variable of oil and gas, general allocation funds, and revenue sharing funds positively influence regional economic growth. The provinces that own more oil and gas resources are said to have more capital for regional development compared to provinces lacking oil and gas resources. In light of this, provinces lacking oil and gas resources should receive the attention of the central government. Need more programs to encourage financial independence of oil and gas resource-poor provinces.
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