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ABSTRACT
Background: IUD is one of the most effective and long acting contraception, but the rate of its use in Indonesia is still low. As conventional IUD inserter is too short to deliver it during immediate postpartum (postplacental) period, then the new inserter, R_inserter, is developed.
Objective: To find out whether the R_inserter can be used easily to deliver CuT-380A IUD during postpartum period in a standard procedure and to find out its safety.
Method: Phase II clinical trial, post-test observation.
Materials and Method: The IUD's used were the conventional CuT-380A with a modification on its inserter namely 9 cm longer, produced by PT Kimia Farma Indonesia. The study was carried out in three hospitals and three
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INTRODUCTION

Indonesia with 237 million people (2011) is now occupying the fourth most populated country after China (1,339,240,000), India (1,184,766,000), and the United States (310 million). In 2007 the number of poor people reached 15.58% of the total population or 37.168 million. In 2010 it has dropped to 31.03 million, a decrease which was considered to be slow. Large population with less quality is a load of development so that the rate of population growth must be reduced.

The use of IUD (intra-uterine device) as a contraceptive in Indonesia is still relatively low at 7.2% of all contraceptive use, smaller than the use of injectables (58.4%), pills (24%). Meanwhile IUDs meet several requirements such as cheap, effective, minimal side effects, practical, and easy to deliver provided that the service providers have been given adequate training. Based on the 1987 Demographic and Health Survey, the number of births in Indonesia reached 5.7 million per year, and 70% of them were conducted at health facilities. Suppose 20% of postpartum mothers were given IUD’s for her contraceptives then the contribution of IUD for all use of contraceptives will increase significantly.

The use of postpartum IUD (immediate post-partum or postplacental IUD) has several advantages such as easy on insertion, the acceptors are clearly not being pregnant, it doesn’t require a specific time to come, and patients are protected immediately after leaving the hospital. Nevertheless IUD should not be given without adequate counseling and informed consent of the patient. For that purpose, counseling of postpartum IUD insertion should have been given since a pregnant women is taking her antenatal care. Counseling done while the patient is in labor or delivery often leads to a regret for the decision is taken in an atmosphere that is not conducive. For clients who do not receive initial counseling it should be done after they are free from the stress and anxiety resulting from birth process.

IUD insertion techniques have been standardized using the “no touch and withdrawal technique”. For CuT 380A, both arms should be bent and inserted into the tube inside the wrapper and should not absolutely be touched by hand although hands are using sterile gloves. Furthermore, IUD should be inserted into the uterus through the cervical canal without touching both the vaginal wall and the speculum. IUD is then placed in the fundus, hold the plunger and withdraw the tube slightly until the IUD is released. Then the
tube is pushed upward toward the fundus until the IUD is placed high enough at the fundus. The tube is pulled out until it is released from the cervix and vagina, and the string is then cut and left about 1-2 cm from the os.

The IUD used for postpartum women so far is using a regular IUD which is inserted in 2 ways. The first way is by using two fingers (index and middle fingers) where the IUD is clamped between them and inserted into the uterine cavity through the dilated cervix, until it is attached at the fundus. The second one is using ring forceps in which the IUD is held at junction between the two horizontal arms and vertical bar and it is inserted through the dilated cervical os and pushed deeply into the uterine fundus. Either way, it violates the principle of “no touch and withdrawal technique” that could potentially increase the risk of infection. Such procedures are taken because conventional package of CuT-380A IUDs available in the market is not specifically designed for postpartum IUD insertion.

The problem is that the length of inserter of the conventional package of CuT 380A IUD’s currently available in the market, (produced by PT Kimia Farma Indonesia) is only 19.0 cm and it doesn’t fit the depth of postpartum uterus. The principle of “no touch and withdrawal technique” becomes impossible because the entire inserter enters the vaginal cavity and no part of the inserter could be held.

Our previous study showed that the mean depth of the uterine cavity soon after (within 10 minutes) delivery of the placenta was 20 cm with the maximum of 28 cm. Based on that finding the new inserter (R_inserter) was designed with the length of inserter become 28 cm. No changes in the diameter of the inserter, so that this devise is also able to be used for interval or postabortal insertion. Using the new R_inserter the insertion of CuT 380-A IUD became easier and the principle of no touch and withdrawal technique become possible.

**OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY.**

The aim of the present study was to find out whether the R_inserter could be easily used to deliver a postpartum IUD. It is also intended to find out the side effects and effectiveness of IUD use such as: expulsion, pain, bleeding, infection, removal, continuation and pregnancy rates.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD.**

The IUD used in this study was the CuT 380-A with a modified inserter on its length. It was prolonged by 9 cm to become 28 cm. As this was the first study to try the easyness of the use of this inserter during post placental delivery period, we conducted a phase II clinical trial, where no control was needed. Post test observation was used.

The study was carried out in Sardjito and 2 other hospitals, 3 Puskesmas that belonged to the networking of the teaching hospital of Faculty of Medicine Universitas Gadjah Mada Yogyakarta. It was started from January 1912 to April 2013.

Subjects (postpartum women) meeting the following criteria were included: all postpartum mothers needing IUD as their contraceptives, vaginal delivery, strong uterine contraction, no bleeding during the stage IV of labor and willing to carry out follow up visits. Patients with signs and symptoms of intra-labor infection (chrioamnionitis), perineal laceration grade III to IV that tends to give rise postpartum infection were excluded.

IUD insertion was carried out by obstetric and gynecology residents of at least semester III or midwives after adequate training using standard no touch and withdrawal technique. Soon after delivery of the placenta, while uterine contraction was strong and no bleeding was encountered, the birth attendant changed his/her gloves. Patient was in lithotomy position, preparation of the vulva and vagina was carried out using 10% povidone iodine solution. Perforated sterile linen was applied. Anterior and posterior Sims speculums were inserted. The
anterior lip of the cervix was grasped with a ring forceps to avoid tear. The depth of the uterine cavity was measured by uterine sound. The flange was then adjusted. As the external os of the cervix was still dilated, the IUD was inserted without putting both arms in to the tube and also without putting the plunger into the tube. While the right hand of the provider was inserting the IUD, the left hand was placed on the abdomen at the level of the fundus. Once the left hand felt that the IUD had been attaching at the fundus, the tube was withdrawn completely, and the IUD had been placed at the fundus. The tail of the IUD was not cut until one or two week-follow up.

Examination was done on follow up visits at 1 week, 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months. During each re-visit the followings were done: history taking, abdominal palpation, in-speculo examination especially to see the radix of IUD. Ultrasound examination was done in case of doubt that IUD was not in place. The IUD was said to be expelled if it was really recognized by the woman, a part of or the entire UD was seen through the external os. If the radix was not seen, then ultrasound examination was done to make sure whether it was still in situ or it was missing.

Infection was present if there was at least three of the following findings: elevated body temperature, pain on suprapubic or tenderness over abdominal palpation, foul smelling lochia or purulent vaginal discharge. Pain was a sensation complained or felt by the acceptor that she needed analgesics. Bleeding was defined as intermenstrual bleeding or menstrual blood flow perceived by the acceptor heavier or longer than usual.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During three months period, 142 women meeting the eligibility criteria were recruited. The mean age and standard deviation was 27.9 ± 5.8 years with the minimum 14 years (one participant) and the maximum 40 years (3 participants). Most of them were between 20 to 35 years (Table 1). In this study, most women had one living child and none had more than 3 children (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 35</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 35</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of living children</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ease of insertion was determined by subjective feeling of the providers (doctors or midwives) and the duration on insertion. None of the providers found difficulties during insertion of these IUDs using the new R_inserter. Duration of insertion is calculated from the application of the speculum until the inserter was pulled out completely. Mean duration of insertion was 3.89 minutes with the minimum of 2 minutes (38 cases or 26.8%) and the maximum was 10 minutes (8 cases or 5.6%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of insertion in minutes</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 5</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 showed that most of insertion was completed within 5 minutes or less. Fifteen cases took more than 5 minutes and only 8 cases (5.6%) insertion took 10 minutes. This happened at the beginning of the study when the residents or midwives were not so familiar with the technique. The author concluded that insertion using R_inserter is quite simple and easy.

Time of insertion in this study was classified in two groups. The first, 113 cases (79.6%) was within
10 minutes after delivery of the placenta (post placental insertion) and the second, 29 cases (20.4%) was within the first 48 hours after delivery (postpartum insertion).

During the first week follow up, the most prominent side effects found was expulsion (8.5%) followed by pain (3.5%) and infection (0.7%). No other side effects were encountered. At one month-follow up visit, expulsion and pain were still dominant. One case had IUD removed because of pain and infection unresponsive to analgesic and antibiotic treatment.

Figure 1. Cummulative events rate at one month follow up (%). Exp, expulsion; Bld, bleeding; Inf, infection; Rem, IUD removal.

Figure 2. Cummulative events rate at three months follow up (%). Exp, expulsion; Bld, bleeding; Inf, infection; Rem, IUD removal.

Figure 3. Cummulative events rate at six months follow up (%). Exp, expulsion; Bld, bleeding; Inf, infection; Rem, IUD removal.

Figure 4. Cummulative events rates at nine months follow up (%). Exp, expulsion; Bld, bleeding; Inf, infection; Rem, IUD removal.

Figure 5. Cummulative events rates at twelve months follow up (%). Exp, expulsion; Bld, bleeding; Inf, infection; Rem, IUD removal.
The first multicentre study of postplacental IUD was done on the late 1970s who involved 841 women, using three types of IUD: Postpartum T, Lippes Loop D (LLD), and Coper 7-200. During 12 months observation, no uterine perforation was reported but the pregnancy rates of Postpartum T, LLD, and Cu7-200 were 5.6, 12.1 and 7.3 per 100 women respectively, while the expulsion rates were 41, 44 and 35 respectively. The study was terminated prematurely by the WHO because the pregnancy and expulsion rates exceeded the stopping rules set in the study protocol, namely pregnancy rate below 3 per 100 women-years and expulsion rate below 20.\cite{6}

The main event in our present study was expulsion happened during the first month after insertion reaching 9.9% and it continued until the sixth months of use i.e. 10.6%. No more expulsion was found until the end of the 12th month observation (Fig.5). This didn't much differ from the study of Xu et al.\cite{6} conducted in China showing that the one year cumulative expulsion rate was 10.8% (95% CI 8.02 -13.76) for manual insertion and 11.8% (95% CI 8.31 – 14.24) for ring forceps insertion.

A review conducted by the WHO demonstrated that expulsion was a major concern because the rate was relatively high, namely between 6% to 44.1% during the period of 6 to 36 months of use respectively.\cite{7} Other studies showed that immediate postpartum IUD insertion had expulsion rate higher than insertion during interval period. Expulsion by six months was more likely for the immediate group than the delayed insertion group (OR 6.77; 95% CI 1.43 to 32.14).\cite{8}

The 12 months cumulative bleeding rate in this study was 13.4% in the form of spott bleeding or menorrhagia and were perceived not to be too annoying. This could be managed by the administration of tranexamic acid. None asked to be removed unless one acceptor because infection with endometritis. A systematic review showed a range between 4.6% to 12.7%.\cite{9}

Talking about the association between the rate of expulsion and time of insertion it was interesting that number of women who underwent expulsion happened mainly when IUD was inserted beyond 10 minutes after delivery of the placenta. The following table showed that expulsion rate was much more higher when IUD was inserted after 10 minutes of the delivery of the placenta (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of insertion after delivery of placenta</th>
<th>Expulsion</th>
<th>Percent of expulsion</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 minutes</td>
<td>Yes 7</td>
<td>No 22</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 10 minutes</td>
<td>Yes 7</td>
<td>No 106</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No pregnancy was observed during 12 months follow up. Number of women who didn’t use IUD any more had been seen since the first week of use because of expulsion and it continued until the six month of observation because of either expulsion or they had the IUD removed for any medical reason. Two cases had the IUD removed because of infection unresponsive to antibiotic treatment and four cases due to bleeding and spotting. Nineteen women discontinued using the IUD after 12 months of use, consisting of 15 expulsions and 4 removals because of medical reason giving the continuation rate 85.9% for one year (Fig.6). A systematic review showed that continuation rate of postpartum IUD use ranged from 57%, 84% to 93.3%.\cite{9}
CONCLUSION

The R_inserter could be used easily and safe. The main event was expulsion that mainly happened during the first month after insertion. Other side effects were infection and pain that could be managed by antibiotic and analgesic treatment. Bleeding was usually minimal in the form of prolonged or inter-menstrual bleeding which could be managed by tranexamic acid. No pregnancy was observed during one-year follow up.
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