



## Research Article

# Feeding Behavior of Brown Planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) on Pigmented Rice Monitored by Electrical Penetration Graph (EPG)

Witjaksono<sup>1)</sup>, Abdulrahman Saad Aldawood<sup>2)</sup>, Kristamtini<sup>3)</sup>, Sumarno<sup>4)</sup>,  
Rofiq Andriyanto<sup>4)</sup>, & Alan Soffan<sup>1)\*</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Gadjah Mada  
Jln. Flora No. 1, Bulaksumur, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55281 Indonesia

<sup>2)</sup>Plant Protection Department, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University  
P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11451 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

<sup>3)</sup>Research Center for Food Crops, National Research and Innovation Agency  
Cibinong Science Center, Jln. Raya Bogor-Jakarta, Cibinong, Bogor, West Java 16911 Indonesia

<sup>4)</sup>Department of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries of Sleman Regency  
Jln. Dr. Rajimin, Sucen, Tribarjo, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55511 Indonesia

\*Corresponding author: e-mail: [alan.soffan@ugm.ac.id](mailto:alan.soffan@ugm.ac.id)

Received June 18, 2024; revised August 7, 2024; accepted September 27, 2024

## ABSTRACT

Rice is one of the essential daily commodities in Asia. However, brown plant hopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens*) infestation has challenged efforts in increasing rice production. Evaluating resistance level of rice cultivars against BPH will provide insight in managing BPH infestation. Feeding behavior of BPH was monitored using an electrical penetration graph (EPG) to evaluate several rice cultivars resistance levels against BPH, including pigmented rice (black rice cv. Sembada hitam; red rice cv. Sembada merah); and the commonly consumed white rice (cv. Ciherang). The EPG allows monitoring of BPH feeding behaviors by quantifying three unique waveforms, namely, N3, N4, and N5, which represent BPH feeding activities in areas near phloem, in phloem, and in xylem, respectively. EPG results revealed absence of the N3 and N4 waveforms from BPH feeding on black rice. Red rice showed the N3 waveform but not the N4 waveform while white rice showed all three waveforms occurring with high numbers and long total durations. The absence of the N4 waveform in the two pigmented rice cultivars indicated the failure of BPH to access phloem tissues. Overall, the results revealed that the resistance of rice against BPH based on feeding activity could be ordered as follows: black rice > red rice > white rice. This report provides essential information on the resistance mechanism of pigmented rice cultivars against BPH.

Keywords: Brown plant hopper; *Nilaparvata lugens*; Electrical Penetration Graph; resistant cultivar; pigmented rice

## INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa*), an important food crop in Asia, has gained increased research interest on account of global requirements for food diversification (Khush, 1997; Muthayya *et al.*, 2014). Intensification of rice production to meet increasing demands is often challenged by several limiting factors, one of which is brown plant hopper (BPH; *Nilaparvata lugens*) infestation (Sogawa, 2015). Significant crop losses due to BPH infestation have been reported in many rice-producing countries which initially emerged due to the implementation

of green revolution technologies (Bottrell & Schoenly, 2012; Hu *et al.*, 2014). The outbreak, migration, and ability of BPH to overcome the rice resistance have become major concerns worldwide (Azzam *et al.*, 2009; Hu *et al.*, 2014; Wu *et al.*, 1997).

Brown plant hopper (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) pierce and suck essential nutrients from plant phloem using their stylet mouthpart. Phloem feeding through stylets may lead to hopperburn, which could significantly decrease rice production up to 100%. BPH is also known to transmit *Rice ragged stunt virus* (RRSV) and *Rice grassy stunt virus* (RGSV),

both of which further contribute to rice production failure (Huang *et al.*, 2015; Zheng *et al.*, 2014). Brown plant hopper control conventionally relies on chemical pesticides, which are relatively easy to acquire and apply, and produces rapid results. However, the excessive use of chemical pesticides may lead to environment pollution and BPH resurgence (Heinrichs & Mochida, 1984; Khoa *et al.*, 2018; Reissig *et al.*, 1982). Considering the potential negative impacts of pesticides, the development of new resistant rice varieties through breeding programs has been explored as an environment-friendly control alternative (Cohen *et al.*, 1997). Unfortunately, coevolution events leading to the emergence of new BPH biotypes that could breakdown the resistance of existing rice cultivars remains a major concern (Jing *et al.*, 2014; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2014; Sharma *et al.*, 2015; Zhao *et al.*, 2016). Today, the emergence of new BPH biotypes and release of new resistant rice cultivars is as never-ending battle.

An efficient but also precise measurement technique to evaluate the resistance of new rice cultivar against BPH is required. The electrical penetration graph (EPG) represents one of these techniques that can be adapted to evaluate the resistance trait of rice cultivars against BPH (Ghaffar *et al.*, 2011; Soffan & Aldawood, 2015; Van Helden & Tjallingii, 2000). EPG visualize BPH feeding behavior into waveforms through measurement of electrical resistance changes and electromotive forces during different feeding activities and location in several tissues of rice cultivars (Seo *et al.*, 2009; Tjallingii, 1978; Wan *et al.*, 2019).

In the present work, we evaluate resistances of two pigmented rice and white rice cultivars against BPH by using an EPG system. Pigmented rice is known to be resistant against several plant diseases, including Bacterial Leaf Blight (Joko *et al.*, 2019), however their potential resistance against insect pest including BPH is not known. The results of this study will support the development of resistant rice cultivars against insect pests including for BPH.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant Materials

Two pigmented rice cultivars, black rice (cv. *Sembada hitam*), and red rice (cv. *Sembada merah*), and

white rice (cv. *Ciberang*) were used in this work. The seed of all three rice cultivars were provided by Assessment Institutes for Agricultural Technology of Yogyakarta. Prior to EPG monitoring, the rice seeds were dipped in water for 24 h and then germinated for 20 days. All plants were maintained at 28 °C with 80% relative humidity and a photoperiod of 16:8 hour light:dark period.

### Brown Plant Hopper Rearing

Brown plant hopper individuals were collected from a rice field in Juwiring, Klaten, Central Java, and reared on rice seedling, cultivar IR 64 in Applied Entomology Laboratory, Department of Plant Protection, Universitas Gadjah Mada. The rice seedling was maintained in cylindrical plastic containers (6 cm diameter, 10 cm height) for BPH feed, whereby water level was maintained at 1 cm height to keep the rice growth. Replacement of the heavily damage rice seedlings by BPH was done as necessary. Third to fourth instar BPH were used for EPG recording.

### Brown Plant Hopper Feeding Monitoring by EPG

Brown plant hopper feeding behavior was monitored by using EPG technique (Tjallingii, 1978). The experiments adopted a completely randomized design with six or seven replications. Twenty-day-old rice seedlings and BPH at the 3–4 instar was used for the EPG experiments. All EPG experiment components were placed in a Faraday cage during feeding monitoring to avoid environment electrical noise event. BPH was placed on the stems of the rice plants about 2–4 cm above the rooting system (Seo *et al.*, 2009). An EPG electrical circuit was set up for one plant and one BPH. Gold wire (20 µm diameter; 3 cm length) was attached to the dorsal part of BPH abdomen, with conductive water-based silver glue. Immobilization of the BPH was required prior to insect mounting which was assisted by light air vacuum on the ventral part of the BPH. The mounted insects were connected to a 3 cm-long copper wire (0.2 mm diameter) which in turn, was connected to the input of an amplifier with a 1 GΩ input resistance and 50× gain. The rice plants were connected to the plant voltage output of the EPG device (Giga-4, Wageningen University) by insertion of a copper electrode (thickness, 2 mm; length, 10 cm) into the water-based medium. The

electrical circuit was completed when the BPH inserted its stylet into the plant tissue. EPG waveforms were automatically generated and recorded on a computer, and recordings were performed for 4 h. Acquisition and analysis of the EPG waveforms obtained were carried out using STYLET version 3.0 (Tjallingii, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands).

### Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using SAS version 9.2 (2008, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The normality of data residue was tested in PROC UNIVARIATE using the Shapiro-Wilk method, followed by square root transformation prior to ANOVA. Feeding activity in the xylem, phloem, and areas near phloem tissues were represented by the EPG waveforms N5, N4, and N3, respectively. Numbers; total, maximum, and minimum durations; and the first time a specific waveform occurred were calculated over 4 h of feeding monitoring. Parametric one-way ANOVA was performed in PROC GLM, and multiple comparisons were carried out using the least significant difference test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Resistance characters of rice cultivars monitored by EPG system was indicated by three EPG waveforms: N3, N4 and N5, representing stylet feeding activities in areas near phloem, phloem, and xylem respectively (Figure 1). The determination of these waveforms was based on their characteristic shapes, amplitudes, and frequencies. Three other waveforms generated during EPG monitoring of BPH in rice include NP (non-probing), N1, and N2; N1 and N2 are respectively related to the penetration initiation and salivation of stylets. N4 can also be subdivided into N4a and N4b where N4a represents intracellular activity in the phloem region while N4b is related to phloem sap ingestion (Seo *et al.*, 2009). Representative overview of waveform in regards of BPH feeding on three different cultivars were visualized in Figure 2 for white rice (cv. *Ciberang*), red rice (cv. *Sembada merah*) and black rice (cv. *Sembada hitam*) respectively.

The representative 1-hour EPG waveform of *Ciberang* (Figure 2A) showed the presence of the

N3, N4, and N5 waveforms, which are commonly observed during normal BPH feeding on rice. The presence of these three waveforms reflects the ability of BPH to access and utilize phloem tissues, which is essential for BPH development (Ghaffar *et al.*, 2011; Yu *et al.*, 2024). The absence of the N4 waveform in *Sembada hitam* and *Sembada merah* (Figures 2B and 2C) indicated disturbance by BPH phloem access. Quantitative measurement of the N3 and N4 waveforms of *Sembada hitam* and *Ciberang* revealed significant differences in total number and duration (Table 1). *Sembada merah*, numerically has fewer number and total duration of N4, and significantly has fewer maximum duration of phloem ingestion (N4) as compared to *Ciberang* (Table 1).

Interestingly, although feeding activities areas near phloem (N3) were not significantly different between *Sembada merah* and *Ciberang*, feeding activities in phloem (N4) revealed a shorter period of phloem ingestion/acquisition in *Sembada merah* than *Ciberang*. Thus, *Sembada merah* had more resistance traits than *Ciberang*. BPH feeding activity indicated by the N3 and N4 waveforms in *Sembada hitam* was completely absent, which implied that this cultivar had resistance characteristics that completely prohibit BPH from utilizing its phloem tissues (Table 1). Xylem feeding in *Sembada hitam* by BPH was significantly reduced compared with that in other cultivars, indicating the relative resistant character of *Sembada hitam* had relation with fewer BPH activities in xylem (represented by N3 waveform) (Table 1).

Obvious differences in BPH feeding behaviors among the three different rice cultivars could clearly be observed by EPG monitoring (Figure 2). Representative 1-hour BPH feeding patterns (Figure 2A) revealed that BPH stylets had greater access to the *Ciberang* phloem than to corresponding tissues of other cultivars. The ability to access phloem tissue is a crucial indicator of host plant suitability for phloem-feeding insects. Studies using electrical penetration graph (EPG) technology have demonstrated that the frequency and duration of phloem feeding phases can differentiate between susceptible and resistant plants (Liu *et al.*, 2012; Wu *et al.*, 2022). BPH feeding on *Sembada merah* (Figure 2B) revealed the N3 and N5 waveforms but not the N4




Figure 1. Feeding behaviors of brown plant hopper (BPH) as monitored by an electrical penetration graph (EPG); typical N3 waveform indicating feeding activity in areas near phloem tissues (A), typical N4 waveform indicating feeding activity in phloem tissues (B), typical N5 waveform indicating feeding activity in xylem tissues (C)

waveform. Finally, BPH feeding on Sembada hitam (Figure 2C) demonstrated the absence of N3 and N4 waveforms. This phenomenon clearly shows that BPH has no access to nutrients in the phloem tissues of Sembada hitam, which could lead to insufficient nutrient uptake for survival and reproduction.

The N4 wave absence is an indicator of varietal resistance where BPH ingested phloem sap for a

long period of time without interruption in susceptible varieties (Ghaffar *et al.*, 2011). BPH can only ingest phloem sap in susceptible genotypes. In addition, Quais *et al.*, (2020) also showed that BPH spent significantly less time in the phloem and sustained phloem sap consumption was lower in salinity-resistant rice plants. Results of these research about feeding behavior of BPH on pigmented rice support Hattori's (2001) suggestion that resistance



Table 1. Brown plant hopper (BPH) feeding activities represented by electrical penetration graph (EPG) waveforms of three rice cultivars, including white rice (cv. *Ciberang*), red rice (cv. *Sembada merah*), and black rice (cv. *Sembada hitam*)\*

BPH Feeding activities		Rice cultivars		
Rice Tissue location	Parameter (Unit)	Ciherang	Sembada merah	Sembada hitam
Phloem (N4)	Number (n)	1.2 ± 0.5 a	0.4 ± 0.2 ab	0 ± 0 b
	Duration (min)	41.8 ± 26 a	8.0 ± 4.6 ab	0 ± 0 b
	First (min)	72.7 ± 24.9 a	65.4 ± 36.8 ab	0 ± 0 b
	Maximum (min)	53.1 ± 27.9 a	4.4 ± 4.4 b	0 ± 0 b
	Minimum (min)	30.7 ± 26.4 a	4.4 ± 4.4 ab	0 ± 0 b
Areas near phloem (N3)	Number (n)	2.7 ± 0.6 a	3.0 ± 1.1 a	0 ± 0 b
	Duration (min)	4.4 ± 0.7 a	2.9 ± 0.8 a	0 ± 0 b
	First (min)	137.1 ± 29.7 a	72.9 ± 22.1 b	0 ± 0 c
	Maximum (min)	6.0 ± 0.8 a	4.0 ± 1.2 a	0 ± 0 b
	Minimum (min)	3.1 ± 0.9 a	1.9 ± 0.5 a	0 ± 0 b
Xylem (N5)	Number (n)	1.8 ± 0.3 a	3.7 ± 0.9 a	0.6 ± 0.3 b
	Duration (min)	17.7 ± 7.4 a	11.7 ± 2.9 a	28.3 ± 18.4 a
	First (min)	85.1 ± 33.1 a	85.3 ± 36.9 a	52.9 ± 32.0 a
	Maximum (min)	22.3 ± 7 a	17.3 ± 3.5 a	28.3 ± 18.4 a
	Minimum (min)	13.4 ± 8.3 a	7.9 ± 3.6 a	28.3 ± 18.4 a

\*Means followed by the same letter in the same row were not significantly different based on least significant difference test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).




Figure 2. Representative 1-hour electrical penetration graph (EPG) waveforms of brown plant hopper (BPH) in (A) white rice (cv. *Ciberang*), (B) red rice (cv. *Sembada merah*), and (C) black rice (cv. *Sembada hitam*); N3 and N4 were present in (A), N3 was present but N4 was absent in (B) while both N3 and N4 were absent in (C)

to BPH is determined by phloem-related characters. Phloem-based resistance may have a basis in phloem chemistry where silicic, oxalic, and phenolic acids, sterols and apigenin-C-glycosides have been implicated in resistance to BPH.

## CONCLUSION

Pigmented rice particularly var. *Sembada hitam* have potential resistant characters as compared to white rice cv. *Ciberang* which was showed from evidence of phloem access inhibition (N4 waveform) during BPH feeding and the absence of N3 waveform (probing activities prior phloem access). These results are essential as a baseline to develop new rice cultivar resistant to BPH, therefore securing rice production in the future.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the Assessment Institutes for Agricultural Technology of Yogyakarta for supporting our experiments and providing the necessary materials for testing. We also thank Miss Yuni, who provided excellent assistance during the EPG experiments.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Azzam, S., Wang, F., Wu, J., Shen, J., Wang, L., Yang, G., & Guo, Y. (2009). Comparisons of Stimulatory Effects of a Series of Concentrations of Four Insecticides on Reproduction in the Rice Brown Planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål (Homoptera: Delphacidae). *International Journal of Pest Management*, 55(4), 347–358. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670870902934872>
- Bottrell, D.G., & Schoenly, K.G. (2012). Resurrecting the Ghost of Green Revolutions Past: The Brown Planthopper as a Recurring Threat to High-yielding Rice Production in Tropical Asia. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 15(1), 122–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2011.09.004>
- Cohen, M.B., Alam, S.N., Medina, E.B., & Bernal, C.C. (1997) Brown Planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, Resistance in Rice Cultivar IR64: Mechanism and Role in Successful *N. lugens* Management in Central Luzon, Philippines. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 85(3), 221–229. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.1997.00252.x>
- Ghaffar, M.B.A.B, Pritchard, J., & Ford-Lloyd, B. (2011). Brown Planthopper (*N. lugens* Stal) Feeding Behaviour on Rice Germplasm as an Indicator of Resistance. *PLoS ONE*, 6(7), e22137. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022137>
- Heinrichs, E., & Mochida, O. (1984). From Secondary to Major Pest Status: The Case of Insecticide-induced Rice Brown Planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, Resurgence. *Protection Ecology*, 7, 201–218.
- Hattori, M. (2001). Probing Behavior of the Brown Planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stal (Homoptera: Delphacidae) on a Non-host Barnyard Grass, and Resistant and Susceptible Varieties of Rice. *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, 36(1), 83–89. <https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.2001.83>
- Hu, G., Lu, F., Zhai, B., Lu, M., Liu, W., Zhu, F., . . . Zhang, X. (2014). Outbreaks of the Brown Planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) in the Yangtze River Delta: Immigration or Local Reproduction? *PLoS ONE*, 9(2), e88973. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088973>
- Huang, H., Bao, Y., Lao, S., Huang, X., Ye, Y., Wu, J., Zhang, C. (2015). Rice ragged stunt virus-induced Apoptosis Affects Virus Transmission from its Insect Vector, the Brown Planthopper to the Rice Plant. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1), 11413. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11413>
- Jing, S., Zhang, L., Ma, Y., Liu, B., Zhao, Y., Yu, H., . . . He, G. (2014). Genome-Wide Mapping of Virulence in Brown Planthopper Identifies Loci that Break Down Host Plant Resistance. *PLoS ONE*, 9(6), e98911. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098911>
- Joko, T., Kristantini., Sumarno., & Andriyanto, R. (2019). The Resistance of Local Pigmented Rice Varieties against Bacterial Leaf Blight. *Jurnal Perlindungan Tanaman Indonesia*, 23(2), 205–210. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jpti.46902>
- Khoa, D.B., Thang, B.X., Van Liem, N., Holst, N., & Kristensen, M. (2018). Variation in Susceptibility of Eight Insecticides in the Brown Plant-

- hopper *Nilaparvata lugens* in Three Regions of Vietnam 2015–2017. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(10), e0204962. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204962>
- Khush, G.S. (1997). Origin, Dispersal, Cultivation and Variation of Rice. In T. Sasaki, & G. Moore (Eds.), *Oryza: From Molecule to Plant* (pp. 25–34). Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5794-0\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5794-0_3)
- Kobayashi, T., Yamamoto, K., Suetsugu, Y., Kuwazaki, S., Hattori, M., Jain, J., . . . Matsumura, M. (2014). Genetic Mapping of the Rice Resistance-breaking Gene of the Brown Planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, *281*(1787), 20140726. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0726>
- Liu, B., Yan, F., Chu, D., Pan, H., Jiao, X., Xie, W., . . . Zhang, Y. (2012). Difference in Feeding Behaviors of Two Invasive Whiteflies on Host Plants with Different Suitability: Implication for Competitive Displacement. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, *8*(5), 697–706. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.4108>
- Muthayya, S., Sugimoto, J.D., Montgomery, S., & Maberly, G.F. (2014). An Overview of Global Rice Production, Supply, Trade, and Consumption. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1324*(1), 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12540>
- Quais, M.K., Munawar, A., Ansari, N. A., Zhou, W., & Zhu, Z. (2020). Interactions between Brown Planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) and Salinity Stressed Rice (*Oryza sativa*) Plant are Cultivar-Specific. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 8051. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64925-1>
- Reissig, W.H., Heinrichs, E.A., & Valencia, S.L. (1982). Insecticide-Induced Resurgence of the Brown Planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*, on Rice Varieties with Different Levels of Resistance. *Environmental Entomology*, *11*(1), 165–168. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/11.1.165>
- Seo, B.Y., Kwon, Y., Jung, J. K., & Kim, G. (2009). Electrical Penetration Graphic Waveforms in Relation to the Actual Positions of the Stylet Tips of *Nilaparvata lugens* in Rice Tissue. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, *12*(2), 89–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2009.02.002>
- Sharma, P.N., Mori, N., Takumi, S., & Nakamura, C. (2015). Conventional and Molecular Studies of Brown Planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stal) Resistance Genes in Rice: A Basis for Future Study of Natural Insect Resistance Genes Using Molecular Markers in Nepal. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology*, *15*(1), 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.3126/njst.v15i1.12032>
- Soffan, A., & Aldawood, A.S. (2015). Electrical Penetration Graph Monitored Feeding Behavior of Cowpea Aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch. (Hemiptera: Aphididae), on Faba Bean, *Vicia faba* L. (Fabaceae), Cultivars. *Turkish Journal of Entomology*, *39*(4), 401–411. <https://doi.org/10.16970/ted.16177>
- Sogawa, K. (2015). Planthopper Outbreaks in Different Paddy Ecosystems in Asia: Man-Made Hopper Plagues that Threatened the Green Revolution in Rice. In K. Heong, J. Cheng, & M. Escalada (Eds.), *Rice Planthoppers* (pp. 33–63). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9535-7\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9535-7_2)
- Tjallingii, W.F. (1978). Mechanoreceptors of the Aphid Labium. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, *24*(3), 731–737. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1978.tb02837.x>
- Van Helden, M., & Tjallingii, W. (2000). Experimental Design and Analysis in EPG Experiments with Emphasis on Plant Resistance Research. In G.P. Walker & E.A. Backus (Eds.), *Principles and Applications of Electronic Monitoring and other Techniques in the Study of Homopteran Feeding Behavior: Proceedings of the Symposium at the XIX International Congress of Entomology*, Beijing, China (pp. 144–172). Thomas Say Publications in Entomology
- Wan, P., Zhou, R., Nanda, S., He, J., Yuan, S., Wang, W., . . . Fu, Q. (2019). Phenotypic and Transcriptomic Responses of Two *Nilaparvata lugens* Populations to the Mudgo Rice Containing Bph1. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 14049. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50632-z>

- Wu, B., Chun, E., Xie, R., Knox, G.W., Gu, M., & Qin, H. (2022). Real-Time Feeding Behavior Monitoring by Electrical Penetration Graph Rapidly Reveals Host Plant Susceptibility to Crapemyrtle Bark Scale (Hemiptera: Eriococcidae). *Insects*, *13*(6), 495. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13060495>
- Wu, G., Yu, X., & Tao, L. (1997). Long-term Forecast on the Outbreak of Brown Planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Stål) and White-backed Planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera* Horvath). *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, *30*(4), 25–29.
- Yu, L., Chen, Y., Zeng, X., Lou, Y., Baldwin, I.T., & Li, R. (2024). Brown Planthoppers Manipulate Rice Sugar Transporters to Benefit Their Own Feeding. *Current Biology*, *34*(13), 2990–2996. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2024.05.028>
- Zhao, Y., Huang, J., Wang, Z., Jing, S., Wang, Y., Ouyang, Y., . . . He, G. (2016). Allelic Diversity in an NLR Gene BPH9 Enables Rice to Combat Planthopper Variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(45), 12850–12855. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614862113>
- Zheng, L., Mao, Q., Xie, L., & Wei, T. (2014). Infection Route of *Rice grassy stunt virus*, a Tenuivirus, in the Body of its Brown Planthopper Vector, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) after Ingestion of Virus. *Virus Research*, *188*, 170–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virus-res.2014.04.008>