## The Description of Knowledge of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patient Measured by Simplified Diabetes Knowledge Scale Indonesia Version (SDKS-INA)

#### Perdani Adnin Maiisyah<sup>1</sup>, Zullies Ikawati<sup>2\*</sup>, Zainol Akbar Zainal<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Post-graduate Master of Clinical Pharmacy Program, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Cyberjaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding author: Zullies Ikawati; Email: zullies\_ikawati@ugm.ac.id

Submitted: 25-09-2020 Revised: 28-09-2020 Accepted: 28-09-2020

#### ABSTRACT

Knowledge of diabetes is the important determinant to practice the self-care thus, the therapy goals of diabetes achieved. The aim of the study is to assess the knowledge of type 2 DM patients using SDKS-INA. This research is an observational study, with data collected in the community of the Yogyakarta province from January - May 2020. The assessment of knowledge of type 2 DM patients was measured by Simplified Diabetes Knowledge Scale in Bahasa Indonesia 1 for the non-insulin user (SDKS-INA1) and SDKS-INA2 for insulin user. Ninety-six of the non-insulin user and 28 insulin user type 2 DM patients with a high level of knowledge was 53.13%, while in those in insulin user was 60,70%. However, high knowledge level is not the intention to discontinue giving education. The knowledge level also should be assessed routinely as well as delivering sustainable diabetes education.

Keywords: diabetes mellitus; knowledge; SDKS-INA

## INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a chronic illness that requires continuous medical care (Association American Diabetes, 2020). Type 2 DM is the most common type of diabetes, which almost 90-95% of all cases of diabetes (Dirjen Binfar Alkes, 2005). International Diabetes Federation in 2017 estimated that 425 million or 8.8% of people in the world have diabetes. Indonesia ranks sixth in the top ten countries/territories with the highest diabetes cases in 2017, reaching up to 10 million people throughout Indonesia (International Diabetes Federation, 2017). The pharmacological and non-pharmacology therapy were required type 2 DM patient. One of non-pharmacology therapy is self-management behavior(Aghili et al., 2016; Dirjen Binfar Alkes, 2005). Self-management behavior includes self-care activities that must be done to achieve therapy goals (Funnell et al., 2010).

Knowledge of diabetes is an important determinant to practice the self-care (Kugbey *et al.*, 2017). A good perception of diabetes builds the patient to recognize the course of the disease, prevention, complication, and management. Thus, an accurate understanding

of diabetes can provide clinical results that benefit patients(Rudjianto *et al.*, 2015). A recommendation revealed that self-management skills and knowledge should be assessed routinely at least annually (Jeeva & Babu, 2017).

To provide the assessment of knowledge of type 2 DM in the low literacy level, especially in Indonesia, Simplified Diabetes Knowledge Scale in Bahasa Indonesia (SDKS-INA) was developed. SDKS-INA is a new instrument for diabetic knowledge. The SDKS-INA was originally from the previous SDKS that was modified for Indonesian patients. The knowledge that must be owned between insulin user and non-insulin user type 2 DM patients is different. In the insulin user, they are obligated to have information about insulin. SDKS-INA is distinguished into SDKS-INA1 for non-insulin user diabetic patients, and SDKS-INA2 for insulin user diabetic patients (Jasper et al., 2014; Nababan, 2020).

The aim of the study is to assess the knowledge of type 2 DM patients measured by SDKS-INA. By knowing the knowing, the health professional can arrange the new strategy for further diabetes therapy management, especially education for patients.

## **METHODOGY**

This research employed a cross-sectional study. The data were collected in the community of the Yogyakarta province from January - May 2020. The data collection was conducted through face to face or phone interview for 20-30 minutes. The subject was taken by consecutive sampling, where patients were selected through inclusion and exclusion criteria up to a specific time and number of samples. The inclusion criteria were patients with a diagnosis of type 2 DM, aged between 35 - 70 years old, agreed to participate in the study by signing an informed consent form, and able to do exercise. The exclusion criteria were: patients who had a mental illness or language barriers that can interfere with the research had severe complications such as end-phase diabetic nephropathy or proliferative diabetic retinopathy. In this study, a total of 96 noninsulin users and 28 insulin user type 2 DM patients participated. The characteristic patient was collected, such as age, gender, work status, level of education, number of comorbidities, number of medicines and duration of diabetes used case report form.

The assessment of knowledge of type 2 DM patients was conducted using Simplified Diabetes Knowledge scale in Bahasa Indonesia. The SDKS-INA was developed in Bahasa Indonesia by some expert panel. SDKS-INA consists of 2 types, SDKS-INA1 for non-insulin user diabetic patients and SDKS-INA2 for insulin user diabetic patients. The questionnaire consists of 17 true-false-do not know statements. The correct answer is scored 1 (one), and do not know, and false is scored 0 (zero). The maximum score is 17. The composition of statements between SDKS-INA1 and SDKS-INA2 is different. The SDKS-INA1 has three subdomains, and SDKS-INA2 consists of 4 subdomains. The level content validity index of SDKS-INA was 0.8 to 1. The reliability was showed the Cronbach's alpha 0.658 and 0.680, respectively, for SDKS-INA1 and SDKS-INA2(Nababan, 2020). Descriptive analysis was used to analyze the frequency and percentage of characteristic and knowledge of type 2 DM patients.

### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

A total of 96 non-insulin users and 28 insulin user type 2 DM patients participated. All the patients were assessed the characteristic and the knowledge level. Table I showed the characteristic of non-insulin user, while in Table III showed the characteristic of insulin user. The knowledge level was presented in Table II for non-insulin user and in Table IV for insulin user type 2 DM.

#### Knowledge in Non-Insulin User Type 2 DM

A total of 96 non-insulin user patients were collected, with the woman was more dominant (59.37%). Most of the patient was adult, and 52.08% of the subjects were employed. In Indonesia, the prevalence of women with diabetes in 2018 was higher than men. In this study, the total proportion of women was also more elevated than men (Balitbangkes, 2018). Education level in this study was divided into three, which were primary, secondary, and high level. The primary level was for elementary school, the secondary level was for junior and senior high school, and the high level was for associate, bachelor, master, and doctoral degree. The patient in the secondary education level was 48.96%, and 41.67% had a high education level. The patients in this study mostly had no comorbidity (45.83%). Fifty-six of patients had 1-2 medicines. One to 5 years of diabetes duration was had 44.79% of non-insulin user patients.

SDKS-INA1 have three subdomains, consist of general knowledge (8 statements), and medication lifestvle adherence (5 statements), and disruptor in glycemic management (4 statements). The maximum score of SDKS-INA1 was 17. In this study, the mean score showed 10.26, which was also be the cutting point score of this study. The patient who had a score below 10.26, was included in low-level knowledge, and vice versa. The percentage of patients with a high level of knowledge was 53.13%. The other study showed a similar result that revealed, 52.5% of patients had scored more than the mean score while 47.5% of patients had less than the mean score(Kassahun & Mekonen, 2017). However, this result of the study was different with the other study that revealed the knowledge level in developing country still low (Al-Qazaz et al., Perdani Adnin Maiisyah, et al

Variable	Total (n=96)	Percentage (%)
Age		
Adult	89	92.7
Elderly	7	7.29
Gender		
Man	39	40.63
Woman	57	59.37
Education Level		
Primary	9	9.37
Secondary	47	48.96
High	40	41.67
Work status		
Unemployed	46	47.92
Employed	50	52.08
Number of Comorbidities		
No comorbidity	44	45.83
1 comorbidity	36	37.5
2 comorbidities	13	13.54
3 comorbidities	3	3.13
Number of Medication		
1-2 medicines	56	58.33
3-4 medicines	36	37.5
≥ 5 medicines	4	4.17
Duration of Diabetes		
< 1 year	8	8.33
1-5 years	43	44.79
6-10 years	21	21.88
> 10 years	24	25

Table I.	Characteristic	of Non-In	sulin User	Type 2	DM Patient	s
i abic ii	character istic	or non m	Juin 03ci	i ypc 2	Driftatione	3

T-LL II D		Jadaa Sa Naa	In an line II a an	T 2 DM	Dationto
Table II Desc	ετιητιοή ότ κηον	vieage in Non-	-insiiiin iiser	' I VNE Z DIVI	Parients
Tuble In Dest	ciption of mov	reage minon	mounn ober	I ypc a Dri	i attento

Level of knowledge	General Knowledge Subdomain	Lifestyle and Medication Adherence Subdomain	Disruptor in Glycemic Management Subdomain	Knowledge measured by SDKS-INA1 (n=96)
Low	47,92%	39,58%	69,79%	46,88%
High	52,08	60,42%	30,21%	53,13%

2012; Al-Rasheedi, 2014; Kaur & Kaur, 2017; Larasati et al., 2019). The difference of result due to the different of the knowledge measurement tool. SDKS in a new measurement that modified accordingly and considered Indonesia culture, more suitable to straightforward and short way time duration.

In the disruptor in glycemic management subdomain, 69.69% patient had low knowledge. The statements of this subdomain consist of the impact of infection on blood glucose, the frequency of glucose test when suffering common-cold, how to take diabetes medicine, and the impact of smoking cessation in controlling blood sugar. The answer to the statement of the frequency of blood glucose test should be more often when patient suffering common-cold is true. Type 2 DM patients must have an awareness to have a blood glucose test more often, especially when suffering commoncold. Due to the low knowledge level in this subdomain, indicated the education of the frequency of blood glucose test possible to be low. This data was provided with another study that showed 65% type 2 DM patients did once

Variable	Total (n=28)	Percentage (%)
Age	· · ·	
Adult	27	96.43
Elderly	1	3.57
Gender		
Man	13	46.43
Woman	15	53.57
Education Level		
Primary	1	3.57
Secondary	13	46.43
High	14	50
Work status		
Unemployed	15	53.57
Employed	13	46.43
Number of Comorbidity		
No comorbidity	8	28.57
1 comorbidity	15	53.57
2 comorbidities	4	14.29
3 comorbidities	1	3.57
Number of Medication		
1-2 medicines	13	46.43
3-4 medicines	9	32.14
≥ 5 medicines	6	21.43
Duration of Diabetes		
< 1 year	2	7.14
1-5 years	11	39.29
6-10 years	7	25
> 10 years	8	28.57

Table III. Characteristic in Insulin User Type 2 DM Patients

Table IV. Description of Knowledge in Insulin User Type 2 DM Patients					
Level of knowledge	Lifestyle and Medication Adherence Subdomain	General Knowledge Subdomain	Disruptor in Glycemic Management Subdomain	Medication Adverse Effect Subdomain	Knowledge measured by SDKS-INA2 (n=28)
Low	35,71%	35,71%	35,71%	35,71%	39,29%
High	64,29%	64,29%	64,29%	64,29%	60,70%

blood glucose test in 3 months. This number i revealed that patients were still not perceived t the importance of blood glucose test. Hence, t even the patients suffering common cold, p the frequency of blood glucose test difficult to

improve(Dinesh *et al.*, 2016). The statement of all diabetes medicines must be taken before meals are wrong. However, many subjects answered wrongly to this statement. It seems that the awareness of how to take diabetes medicine probably is still low. Several patients assumed that all diabetes medicines have a similar way to take. This information must be emphasized to DM patients through counselling by a pharmacist to avoid the disruptor in glycemic management. The prevalence of smoking habit in the diabetic patient was similar to the general population. Insufficient knowledge of smoking cessation, and the prevalence indicated less of awareness of patient toward the benefit of smoking cessation, especially in controlling blood sugar(Campagna *et al.*, 2019). These result of knowledge level is a benefit to be considered as the education that must be delivered to noninsulin type 2 DM.

# Knowledge in Insulin User Type 2 DM Patients

A total of 28 of insulin user type 2 DM patients were collected. The characteristic subject presented in Table III. The subject dominantly is woman consist of 53.57% and the less was the man. In Indonesia, the prevalence of women with diabetes in 2018 was higher than in men. In this study, the total proportion of women was also more elevated than men (Balitbangkes, 2018). Mostly the patient was adult, 53.57% was unemployed. The patient who had a high education level was 50%, and 46.43% had secondary education level. The patients in this study mostly had one comorbidity (53.57%). Type 2 DM patients who used insulin, mostly had a longer duration of diabetes. In this study, 26 of 28 patients had more than 1-year diabetes. Another study in insulin user, 45% of the patient had more than three years of diabetes (Wilson & Symphoria, 2016). Subjects who had 1-2 medicines was 46.43%.

SDKS-INA2 consists of 4 subdomains, which are lifestyle and medication adherence (4 statements), general knowledge (7 statements), a disruptor in glycemic management (3 statements), and adverse medication effect (3 statements). The mean score was 9.75 of 17. This score also is the cutting point. The patient who had a score that less than 9.75 was included in low level, and vice versa. The level of knowledge type 2 DM patient in this study mostly had high level (60.70%).

In general knowledge subdomain, there are seven statements, but the mean of the score was 3.9 of 7. There were 3-4 statements that not correctly be answered by the patients. One of seven statements are "if you forget to take your once-daily morning pill and it only comes to your mind at bedtime in the night, you do not need to take it". The answer to those statements is correct. Since the insulin user type 2 DM patient has a high risk to have hypoglycemia due to the use of insulin. This statements could be more educated and warned by the pharmacist to improve the clinical benefit and to prevent the adverse drug event for insulin uset. The other statement is "urine testing and blood testing are both equally as good for testing the level of blood glucose". The knowledge regarding this statement is possible to low. One of the studies showed that the majority of the patient had

insufficient knowledge and practice in urine testing (Desalu *et al.*, 2011).

Both knowledges of non-insulin user and insulin user type 2 DM patients in this study was high level. Diabetes Mellitus is a chronic disease that requires continuous therapy simultaneously repeated and sustainable education to keep and to remind the knowledge whom patients had. Hence, the selfcare was provided to achieve aims of type 2 DM therapy. This result of the study can be a consideration for the health professional to selected the most important education that must be emphasis.

## CONCLUSION

The percentage of non-insulin user type 2 DM patients with a high level of knowledge was 53.13% while in insulin user was 60,70%. Regarding diabetes is a continuous disease, high knowledge level is not the intention to discontinue giving education. The knowledge level should be assess routinely as well as deliver diabetes education.

## ACKNOWLEDGE

Researchers would like to thanks the patients participating in the study.

## REFERENCES

- Aghili, R., Polonsky, W. H., Valojerdi, A. E., Malek, M., Keshtkar, A. A., Esteghamati, A., Heyman, M., & Khamseh, M. E. (2016). Type 2 Diabetes: Model of Factors Associated with Glycemic Control. Canadian Journal of Diabetes, 40(5), 424– 430.
- Al-Qazaz, H. Kh., Sulaiman, S. A., Hassali, M. A., Shafie, A. A., & Sundram, S. (2012). Diabetes knowledge and control of glycaemia among type 2 diabetes patients in Penang, Malaysia: Knowledge and glycaemia control in type 2 diabetes. Journal of Pharmaceutical Health Services Research, 3(1), 49–55.
- Al-Rasheedi, A. A. S. (2014). The Role of Educational Level in Glycemic Control among Patients with Type II Diabetes Mellitus. International Journal of Health Sciences, 8(2), 177–187.
- Association American Diabetes. (2020). Introduction: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2020. Diabetes Care,

43(Supplement 1), S1–S2.

- Balitbangkes. (2018). Hasil Utama Riskesdas 2018. Kementerian Kesehatan Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan, Jakarta. https://www.kemkes.go.id/resources/d ownload/info-terkini/hasil-riskesdas-2018.pdf
- Campagna, D., Alamo, A., Di Pino, A., Russo, C., Calogero, A. E., Purrello, F., & Polosa, R. (2019). Smoking and diabetes: Dangerous liaisons and confusing relationships. Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome, 11(1), 85.
- Desalu, O., Salawu, F., Jimoh, A., Adekoya, A., Busari, O., & Olokoba, A. (2011). Diabetic foot care: Self reported knowledge and practice among patients attending three tertiary hospital in Nigeria. Ghana Medical Journal, 45(2).
- Dinesh, P., Kulkarni, A., & Gangadhar, N. (2016). Knowledge and self-care practices regarding diabetes among patients with Type 2 diabetes in Rural Sullia, Karnataka: A community-based, crosssectional study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 5(4), 847.
- Dirjen Binfar Alkes. (2005). Pharmaceutical Care untuk Penyakit Diabetes Mellitus. Dep. Kesehatan RI.
- Funnell, M. M., Brown, T. L., Childs, B. P., Haas, L. B., Hosey, G. M., Jensen, B., Maryniuk, M., Peyrot, M., Piette, J. D., Reader, D., Siminerio, L. M., Weinger, K., & Weiss, M. A. (2010). National Standards for Diabetes Self-Management Education. Diabetes Care, 33(Supplement\_1), S89– S96.
- International Diabetes Federation. (2017). International Diabetes Atlas Eight Edition 2017 (Eighth Edition, 2017). https://www.idf.org/elibrary/epidemiologyresearch/diabetes-atlas/134-idfdiabetes-atlas-8th-edition.html
- Jasper, U. S., Opara, M. C., Pyiki, E. B., & Akinrolie, O. (2014). Knowledge of insulin use and its determinants among Nigerian insulin requiring diabetes patients. Journal of Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, 13, 10.
- Jeeva, S., & Babu, M. (2017). Knowledge of Diabetic Clients Regarding Self-care

Practices in Management of type II Diabetes Mellitus at selected Rural Community of Bangalore, Karnataka. Asian Journal of Nursing Education and Research, 7(1), 86.

- Kassahun, C. W., & Mekonen, A. G. (2017). Knowledge, attitude, practices and their associated factors towards diabetes mellitus among non diabetes community members of Bale Zone administrative towns, South East Ethiopia. A crosssectional study. PLOS ONE, 12(2), e0170040.
- Kaur, S., & Kaur, H. (2017). A Descriptive Study to Assess the Knowledge Regarding Diabetes Mellitus among the Residents of Selected Rural Community, Gurdaspur, Punjab. International Journal of Nursing Education and Research, 5(1), 19.
- Kugbey, N., Oppong Asante, K., & Adulai, K. (2017). Illness perception, diabetes knowledge and self-care practices among type-2 diabetes patients: A crosssectional study. BMC Research Notes, 10(1), 381.
- Larasati, L. A., Andayani, T. M., & Kristina, S. A. (2019). Hubungan Tingkat Pengetahuan terhadap Outcome Klinik Pasien Diabetes Melitus Tipe 2. JURNAL MANAJEMEN DAN PELAYANAN FARMASI (Journal of Management and Pharmacy Practice), 9(2).
- Nababan, O. A. (2020). New Instruments for Diabetes: Psychometric Evaluation of Bahasa Indonesia Version of Simplified Diabetes Knowledge Scales (SDKS-INA) and Attitudes Toward Diabetes Scales (ATDS-INA). Taipei Medical University.
- Rudjianto, A., Yuwono, A., Shahab, A., & Manaf, A. (2015). Konsensus Pengelolaan dan Pencegahan Diabetes Melitus 2 di Indonesia 2015. Pengurus Besar Perkumpulan Endokrinologi Indonesia (PB PERKENI).
- Wilson, S., & Symphoria. (2016). A Study to assess the effect of awareness Programme on Compliance to Insulin Therapy among Patients with Diabetes Mellitus (DM) in a Selected Community Health Centre, Thrissur District. Asian Journal of Nursing Education and Research, 6(4), 464.