Muryanto *1,2 M Fadil MK Rongan ³ Tasrikin Agustianto ^{1,4} Eka Triwahyuni ¹ Muhammad Arifuddin Fitriady ¹ Teuku Beuna Bardant ¹ Sri Sugiwati ¹ Roni Maryana ¹ Yanni Sudiyani ¹ Misri Gozan *4

- ¹ Research Centre for Chemistry, National Research and Innovation Agency, Kawasan Puspiptek Serpong, 15314, Indonesia
- ² Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Pamulang University, South Tangerang, Indonesia
- ³ Chemical Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto, West Java, Indonesia
- ⁴ Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Indonesia, Depok 16424, Indonesia

e-mail: moeryanto.mrt@gmail.com; mgozan@ui.ac.id

Submitted 8 December 2024 Revised 14 March 2025 Accepted 24 March 2025

Abstract. Furfural is a compound derived from xylose, a building block material. Sources of furfural can come from various corn industry wastes such as corn cobs and corn husks, and palm oil industry wastes like Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB). This study aims to compare the potential of furfural production from corn industry waste and palm oil industry waste. The research method involves the hydrolysis and dehydration of hemicellulose using two types of solvents, sulfuric acid (SA) and Deep Eutectic Solvents (DES), at varying temperatures (160 °C, 170 °C, and 180 °C) and reaction times (60, 120, and 180 minutes). The highest furfural yield from corn cobs, 7.69 g/L, was obtained using 1 M sulfuric acid solvent at 160 °C for 60 minutes. The optimal condition for producing a high concentration of furfural from corn cobs was achieved using DES at 170°C for 60 minutes, yielding approximately 13.18 g/L. In contrast, furfural production from EFB resulted in a concentration of 4.70 g/L.

Keywords: Corn Cob, Corn Husk, Empty Fruit Bunch, Furfural, Lignocellulosic

INTRODUCTION	serving as a solvent in petroleum refining and
	nylon manufacturing (Dashtban et al., 2012).
Furfural is a widely utilized compound,	Its demand has steadily increased in line with

the growing needs of the petroleum industry. Furfural can be derived from lignocellulosic biomass, which is rich in hemicellulose, including corn cobs, husks, empty oil palm bunches, wheat, rice husks, wood, shells, and similar materials (Juwita *et al.*, 2012; Muryanto *et al.*, 2022; Panjaitan *et al.*, 2017).

Corn is a staple food consumed globally as a primary food source and as animal feed. The high consumption of corn has led to a significant increase in corn waste. In 2020, Indonesia's corn production reached 29.02 million tons (Ditjetbun, 2019). The primary agro-industrial byproducts of corn processing are corn husks (produced before degraining) and cobs (produced after degraining). Research indicates that these materials together account for up to 65% of the total waste generated throughout the corn processing value chain, with their mass being comparable to that of the corn grains produced (Awosusi et al., 2017). The processing of corn waste, such as cobs and husks, remains underdeveloped. Corn cobs and husks are promising raw materials for furfural production due to their high hemicellulose content.

The synthesis of furfural from lignocellulosic biomass typically involves the hydrolysis of hemicellulose into xylose, followed by the dehydration of xylose to form furfural. Several factors influence these stages, including time, temperature, concentration, the nature of reactants, and stirring speed (Chen et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2021).

Sulfuric acid is commonly used as a solvent and a catalyst in furfural production. In addition to sulfuric acid, various chemicals such as metal chlorides, organic acids, and hydrochloric acid have been utilized (Lee and Wu, 2021). However, these solvents pose environmental hazards and can cause equipment corrosion, prompting extensive research into alternative solvents to replace sulfuric acid.

Deep eutectic solvents (DES) have recently gained popularity as green solvents for biomass conversion. It is easier to synthesize, generally more cost-effective, and less hazardous (Smith *et al.*, 2014). DES has been widely applied in biomass pretreatment, lignin isolation, chemical extraction, and other related applications (Ai *et al.*, 2020). Several studies have also reported using DES in furfural production (Zhang *et al.*, 2014).

production from Although furfural lignocellulose biomass has been widely reported, this study introduces variations in parameters under process consistent environmental conditions to minimize the impact of differing external factors on furfural yield. This study aims to synthesize furfural by comparing different lignocellulosic feedstocks, such as corn cobs, corn husks, and empty oil palm bunches (EFB). Additionally, it evaluates the effect of temperature, reaction time, and solvent type on furfural yield.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The corn cobs and husks utilized in this research were collected from Sumbawa, West Nusa Tenggara, and the empty fruit bunches were obtained from a palm oil plantation in Sumatra, Indonesia. The furfural solution, used as a reference standard and sulfuric acid, was sourced from Merck. Analytical-grade chemicals, including xylose, choline chloride, and oxalic acid, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Solvent Preparation

The acidic solvent used in this research was 1M sulfuric acid, prepared by diluting concentrated sulfuric acid. Additionally, a Deep Eutectic Solvent (DES) was also used. The DES was generated by combining oxalic acid as the hydrogen bond donor and choline chloride as the hydrogen bond acceptor in a 1:1 molar ratio. The mixture was heated for 60 minutes at 80–100°C using an oil bath until a clear and homogeneous solution was obtained (Abbott, 2022).

Furfural production

The synthesis of furfural from corn cobs and corn husks was carried out by introducing 20% of the substrate into 1M sulfuric acid solution. The process was conducted using an autoclave reactor with a stirring mechanism in a heated oil bath. Once the oil bath reached 160°C, the hydrothermal autoclave was inserted, and the magnetic stirrer was set to 300 rpm. The hydrolysis and dehydration of the corn cobs and corn husks were performed at 160°C with varying durations of 60, 120, and 180 minutes. Similar experiments were conducted at temperatures of 170°C and 180°C. The study also explored solvent variations using DES and substrate variations by incorporating empty fruit bunches (EFB).

After the hydrolysis and dehydration processes were completed, ice rapidly cooled the reactor. The reaction mixture was then removed from the reactor and filtered through a sieve. The resulting filtrate was transferred into a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 3000 rpm. The supernatant was collected, placed in glass bottles, and stored for further analysis using UV-Vis spectrophotometry.

Analytical Methods

Furfural analysis was performed using an aniline acetate reagent. This reagent was prepared by mixing aniline, acetic acid, and 98% ethanol in a volume ratio of 1:1:8. A standard calibration curve for furfural solutions was established based on absorbance measurements. For sample analysis, the aniline acetate reagent was added to the samples, inducing a color change to red. The absorbance of the samples was then measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 515 nm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Furfural can be produced from lignocellulosic biomass through hydrolysis and dehydration processes. Hydrolysis hemicellulose converts present in lignocellulosic into xylose, while subsequent dehydration of xylose results in furfural formation with the release of water. The conversion of lignocellulose to furfural can be facilitated using acidic solvents, such as sulfuric acid. The large hemicellulose molecules are broken down during hydrolysis components, specifically into simpler pentosan, which is further hydrolyzed into pentose sugar. The mechanism involves proton (H⁺) interaction with the glycosidic oxygen (glycosidic bond) connecting the hemicellulose monomers. This reaction is followed by the glycosidic bond (C-O) cleavage, forming a carbonium ion. The carbonium ion then reacts with H₂O and undergoes deprotonation to form xylose (Tuas and Lerrick, 2017).

During the dehydration process, the pyranose ring of xylose undergoes protonation by H⁺, followed by the removal of water (dehydration) to form a carbonium

ion. The process continues with the ring's opening in the carbonium ion, which undergoes further deprotonation by acid to form polyalcohol. This is followed by sequential deprotonation and dehydration steps catalyzed by acid, eventually forming the aromatic furfural ring (Tuas and Lerrick, 2017).

Effect of Temperature and Time on Furfural Concentration

Corn cobs can be converted into furfural using acidic solvents, as shown in Figure 1. Based on Figure 1, the furfural concentration obtained from corn cobs using sulfuric acid at different temperatures and reaction times indicates that prolonged hydrolysis and dehydration times decrease in furfural concentration for both corn cobs and corn husks. The highest furfural concentration was achieved at 160°C for 60 minutes, yielding 7.96 g/L. These results are consistent with the study conducted by Ramadhan et al. (2022) (Ramadhan et al., 2022), which reported that furfural yield declined when the reaction time. This is because prolonged operation leads to the degradation of furfural into simpler organic compounds. This study produced the most significant furfural concentration within 60 minutes.



Fig. 1: Furfural concentration from corn cob in a variation of time and temperature

Temperature is another factor influencing the furfural concentration produced. Based on Figure 1, it is clear that the highest furfural concentration was obtained at 160°C. However, the furfural concentration declined as the temperature increased beyond this point. At higher temperatures, the decomposition reaction of hemicellulose into furfural tends to be faster but may also accelerate side reactions, such as the degradation of furfural into unwanted byproducts. This is due to furfural's thermal sensitivity, as it easily degrades under extreme conditions (Cousin et al., 2022). Consequently, although higher temperatures may initially enhance furfural formation, the degradation rate surpasses the production rate, resulting in lower furfural concentrations.



Fig. 2: Furfural concentration from corn husk in a variation of time and temperature

Corn husks, a byproduct of corn kernel processing for concentrate feed, were also treated similarly to assess their potential as a furfural feedstock. The furfural concentration from corn husks is shown in Figure 2. Figure 2 shows a similar trend was observed, where prolonged reaction times and higher temperatures furfural led to lower concentrations. The highest furfural concentration from corn husks, 2.61 g/L, was obtained at 160°C for 60 minutes. The furfural concentration produced by corn husks is lower than that of corn cobs. This difference is likely due to corn husks' lower hemicellulose content than corn cobs (Awosusi *et al.*, 2017).

Considering the trend observed in previous experiments, where increasing temperatures reduced furfural concentration, an additional experiment was conducted at a temperature lower than 160°C for 60 minutes using corn cob substrates, as shown in Figure 3. When the temperature was reduced to 150°C, the furfural concentration decreased to 7.28 g/L. This finding confirms that this study's optimal temperature for furfural production was 160°C.





Effect of Substrate on Furfural Concentration

The substrate variation was conducted to evaluate the potential of other lignocellulosic materials as feedstock for furfural production. Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB) were used in this study. Based on Figure 4, it can be observed that the type of substrate and the duration of the process significantly affect the furfural concentration produced. Corn cob yielded the highest furfural concentration after 60 minutes, at 7.96 g/L, followed by EFB at 4.7 g/L, and corn husk with the lowest concentration of 2.6 g/L. These results indicate that corn cob exhibits the highest potential for furfural production among the three substrates within a shorter processing time. Additionally, the furfural yield from EFB remained lower than that from corn cob.

Table 1. Chemical composition of corn

waste and EFB			
	Lignin	Cellulose	Hemicellulose
Corn cob	26.47	31.69	24.39
	<u>+</u> 0.25	+0.16	<u>+</u> 0.26
Corn husk	33.35	28.95	15.15
	<u>+</u> 0.35	+0.24	<u>+</u> 0.21
EFB	39.13	32.15	20.88
	<u>+</u> 0.28	+0.17	<u>+</u> 0.09

The differences in chemical composition the substrates, particularly among in hemicellulose, lignin, and cellulose content, are likely the primary factors affecting the final furfural yield. Table 1 shows the chemical composition of corn cob, corn husk, and EFB. The hemicellulose content of EFB is lower compared to corn cob. Previous studies have shown that the hemicellulose content in corn cob can reach 30-40% (Awosusi et al., 2017; Pointner et al., 2014), while in EFB, it only reaches 14-25% (M Muryanto et al., 2022). Zou et al. (2021) stated that corn cob has a sponge-like microstructure in the pith, an irregular elliptical shape, and several small micropores in the cellulose microfibrils (Zou et al., 2021). Corn cob, with its more porous structure and higher hemicellulose content, facilitates better diffusion of intermediates during hydrolysis.

In contrast, EFB has a denser structure with a surface covered by waxes and silica (Triwahyuni *et al.*, 2021). This structure and a higher lignin content may hinder the hydrolysis of hemicellulose and reduce the efficiency of furfural formation in the early stages of the reaction.

The difference in structural composition plays a critical role in determining the effectiveness of substrate conversion to

furfural (Ye *et al.*, 2021). Corn cob, with its higher hemicellulose availability and porous structure, allows for a more efficient breakdown of its components during the hydrolysis and dehydration. This leads to a higher furfural yield within a relatively shorter time frame. On the other hand, EFB, despite being a suitable lignocellulosic material, presents more challenges in terms of hemicellulose accessibility due to its potentially higher lignin content, which acts as a barrier to the hydrolysis process.





Corn husk also showed the lowest furfural concentration, possibly due to its lower hemicellulose content than corn cob and EFB. Corn husk may also possess a less favorable structure for the breakdown of lignocellulosic material, further contributing to its lower furfural yield. This suggests that the substrate selection for furfural production be carefully considered, should with particular attention to the hemicellulose content and structural characteristics that influence the hydrolysis and dehydration processes.

Effect of Solvent on Furfural Concentration

Figure 5 shows the furfural concentrations produced using DES and sulfuric acid (SA) at two temperature variations (160°C and 170°C). It can be

observed that the use of DES solvents and sulfuric acid, as well as temperature variation, significantly affects the production of furfural from two types of substrates, corn cob and corn husk. In general, DES solvents produce higher furfural concentrations than sulfuric acid (SA), especially at higher temperatures (170°C).



Fig. 5: Variation of solvent in furfural production from corn cob

Using DES on corn cob at 160°C (DES160) yields 9.33 g/l of furfural, whereas sulfuric acid at the same temperature (SA160) only produces 7.96 g/l. This difference indicates that DES is more effective in facilitating hemicellulose decomposition at moderate temperatures. DES, which is typically a combination of salts and hydrogen donors, can dissolve lignocellulosic components more efficiently, allowing better access to hemicellulose for furfural production (Muryanto *et al.*, 2023; Yong *et al.*, 2022).

The disparity between DES and SA significant becomes more when the temperature is increased to 170°C, corn cob processed with DES at 170°C produces the highest furfural yield, at 13.18 g/l, compared to 4.69 g/l when using SA at the same temperature. This temperature increase appears to favor DES solvents, which can accelerate hemicellulose hydrolysis and optimally enhance furfural production. In contrast, using SA results in a decrease in furfural concentration with increasing

temperature, likely due to the accelerated degradation of furfural at higher temperatures (Lee and Wu, 2021; Mittal *et al.*, 2017). Furfural can still be produced at lower temperatures but requires a higher acid concentration. Additionally, the furfural yield at lower temperatures is lower than that obtained at higher processing temperatures (Amraini *et al.*, 2023).

A similar trend is observed for corn husk, although furfural concentrations are generally lower than those obtained from corn cob. At 160°C, DES yields 5.89 g/l of furfural, whereas SA only produces 2.61 g/l. This indicates that corn husk contains lower hemicellulose content than corn cob, it can still generate a significant amount of furfural when DES is used as the solvent.

Corn husk processed with DES produces 6.59 g/l of furfural when the temperature is raised to 170°C, slightly higher than at 160°C. However, corn husk processed with SA at 170°C produces the same amount of furfural as at 160°C, 2.61 g/l. This suggests that the temperature increase positively affects DES but has no significant impact on SA in improving furfural yields from corn husks.

The effectiveness of DES solvents compared to sulfuric acid (SA) in producing furfural may be due to DES's ability to maintain furfural stability during the reaction DES dissolves hemicellulose process. effectively, and helps prevent furfural degradation at high temperatures (Lee and Wu, 2021). Meanwhile, sulfuric acid, while effective at breaking down hemicellulose structures, tends to accelerate side reactions that lead to furfural degradation, particularly at higher temperatures such as 170°C. This highlights the superior performance of DES in supporting furfural formation from biomass materials.

Furfural production from Empty Fruit

Bunches (EFB) using DES follows a similar trend to that observed with corn-based biomass. In this study, hydrolysis of EFB was conducted at 160°C, yielding a furfural concentration of 5.89 g/L. This yield is notably higher than that obtained through conventional hydrolysis using sulfuric acid, further demonstrating DES's potential as a more effective and sustainable solvent for furfural production.

CONCLUSIONS

The study highlights the significant effects of temperature, processing time, substrate type, and solvent selection on furfural concentration derived from corn cobs, corn husks, and Empty Fruit Bunches (EFB). The optimal conditions for furfural production were 160°C for 60 minutes, where corn cobs yielded the highest concentration of 7.96 g/L. Prolonged processing times and increasing temperatures beyond this point led to decreased furfural concentrations due to thermal degradation. Substrate variation revealed that corn cobs facilitated superior furfural yields compared to corn husks and EFB. The lower hemicellulose content in EFB and corn husks limited their potential, underscoring the importance of selecting suitable substrates based on their chemical composition. Furthermore, Deep Eutectic solvents (DES) demonstrated a marked improvement in furfural yields compared to sulfuric acid, particularly at elevated temperatures. DES enhanced the efficiency of hemicellulose breakdown and mitigated furfural degradation during the reaction process, confirming its superior performance as a solvent in furfural production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledged the financial support for research by Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional and publication by Palm Oil Plantation Fund Management Agency (BPDPKS) through the research grant "Grant Riset Sawit" (GRS) 2022 (PRJ-367/DPKS/2022 and 408/PKS/WRII-DRP/UI/2022). The authors also acknowledge the facilities and scientific and technical support from the National Research and Innovation Agency through e-Layanan Sains, Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional

REFERENCES

- Abbott, A.P., 2022. "Deep eutectic solvents and their application in electrochemistry." *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem. 36*, 100649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.10 0649
- Ai, B., Li, W., Woomer, J., Li, M., Pu, Y., Sheng, Z., Zheng, L., Adedeji, A., Ragauskas, A.J., and Shi, J., 2020. "Natural deep eutectic solvent mediated extrusion for continuous high-solid pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass." *Green Chem.* 22, 6372–6383.

https://doi.org/10.1039/d0gc01560a

Amraini, S.Z., Irianty, R.S., Hamzah, N., Muria, S.R., Sari, N.L., Azhar, R.A., and Susanto, R., 2023. "The use of fractional factorial design to analyze the effect of sulfuric acid concentration and temperature on furfural yield." *Mater. Today Proc.* 87, 240– 245.

> https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2023.1006 73

Awosusi, A.A., Ayeni, A.O., Adeleke, R., and Daramola, M.O., 2017. "Biocompositional and thermodecompositional analysis of South African agro-waste corncob and husk towards production of biocommodities." *Asia-Pacific J. Chem. Eng.* 12, 960–968. https://doi.org/10.1002/apj.2138

- Chen, Z., Bai, X., Lusi, A., Jacoby, W.A., and Wan, C., 2019. "One-pot selective conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into furfural and co-products using aqueous choline chloride/methyl isobutyl ketone biphasic solvent system." *Bioresour. Technol. 289*, 121708. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019. 121708
- Cousin, E., Namhaed, K., Pérès, Y., Cognet, P., Delmas, M., Hermansyah, H., Gozan, M., Alaba, P.A., and Aroua, M.K., 2022. "Towards efficient and greener processes for furfural production from biomass: A review of the recent trends." *Sci. Total Environ. 847*, 157599. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.1 57599
- Dashtban, M., Gilbert, A., and Fatehi, P., 2012. "Production of furfural: Overview and challenges." Journal of Science & Technology for Forest Products and Processes, 2(4), 44–53.
- Ditjetbun, 2019. Statistik Perkebunan Indonesia 2018-2020. Kementerian Pertanian.
- Juwita, R., Syarif, L.R., and Tuhuloula, A., 2012. "The effect of the type and concentration of acid catalysts on the synthesis of furfural from rice husks." *Konversi 1*, 34– 38.
- Lee, C.B.T.L., and Wu, T.Y., 2021. "A review on solvent systems for furfural production from lignocellulosic biomass." *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 137, 110172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.1101 72

Mittal, A., Black, S.K., Vinzant, T.B., O'Brien, M.,

Tucker, M.P., and Johnson, D.K., 2017. "Production of furfural from processrelevant biomass-derived pentoses in a biphasic reaction system." ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 5, 5694–5701. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng. 7b00215

- Muryanto, M, Amelia, F., Izzah, M.N., Maryana, R., Triwahyuni, E., Bardant, T.B., Filailla, E., Sudiyani, Y., and Gozan, M., 2022. "Delignification of empty fruit bunch using deep eutectic solvent for biobased-chemical production," *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1108*, 012013. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1108/1/012013
- Muryanto, Muryanto, Maryana, R., Triwahyuni, E., Sudiyani, Y., and Gozan, M., 2022. "Furfural production using aqueous deep eutectic solvent," *AIP Conf. Proc. 2708*, 030003. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122673
- Muryanto, M., Sudiyani, Y., Darmawan, M.A., Handayani, E.M., and Gozan, M., 2023. "Simultaneous delignification and furfural production of palm oil empty fruit bunch by novel ternary deep eutectic solvent." *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.* 48, 16359–16371. https://doi.org/10.1007/c12260.022

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-023-08211-y

- Panjaitan, J.R.H., Monica, S., and Gozan, M., 2017. "Production of furfural from palm oil empty fruit bunches: Kinetic model comparation." *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 65, 012042. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/65/1/012042
- Pointner, M., Kuttner, P., Obrlik, T., Jäger, A., and Kahr, H., 2016. "Composition of corncobs as a substrate for fermentation of biofuels." *Agron. Res., 12(2),* 391-396

Ramadhan, R., Bahri, S., Muarif, A., Jalaluddin,

J., and Nurlaila, R., 2022. "The Production of furfural from sugarcane bagasse using hydrolysis method with sulfuric acid catalyst." *Chem. Eng. J. Storage 2*, 1–10.

Smith, E.L., Abbott, A.P., and Ryder, K.S., 2014. "Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) and their applications." *Chem. Rev.* 114, 11060– 11082.

https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p

- Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Meng, X., Qu, J., Liu, C., and Qu, B., 2019. "A review on the transformation of furfural residue for value-added products." *Energies* 13, 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13010021
- Triwahyuni, E., Miftah, A.K., Muryanto;, Maryana, R., and Sudiyani, Y., 2021. "Effect of CO₂-added steam explosion on oil palm empty fruit bunch for bioethanol production." *Cellul. Chem. Technol.* 55, 839–847. https://doi.org/10.35812/CelluloseChem Technol.2021.55.71
- Tuas, M.A., and Lerrick, R.I., 2017. "Furfuric Acid synthesis optimisation over acidic hydrolysis of candelnutshell (*Aleuritesmoluccana*)." J. Purifikasi 17, 77–86. https://doi.org/10.12962/j25983806.v17. i2.358
- Ye, L., Han, Y., Wang, X., Lu, X., Qi, X., and Yu, H., 2021. "Recent progress in furfural production from hemicellulose and its derivatives: conversion mechanism, catalytic system, solvent selection." *Mol. Catal.* 515, 111899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2021.111 899
- Yong, K.J., Wu, T.Y., Lee, C.B.T.L., Lee, Z.J., Liu, Q., Jahim, J.M., Zhou, Q., and Zhang, L., 2022. "Furfural production from biomass residues: Current technologies, challenges and future prospects." *Biomass and Bioenergy 161*, 106458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.202.

106458

Zhang, L.X., Yu, H., Yu, H.B., Chen, Z., and Yang, L., 2014. "Conversion of xylose and xylan into furfural in biorenewable choline chloride-oxalic acid deep eutectic solvent with the addition of metal chloride." *Chinese Chem. Lett.* 25, 1132– 1136.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2014.03.0 29

Zou, Y., Fu, J., Chen, Z., and Ren, L., 2021. "The effect of microstructure on mechanical properties of corn cob." *Micron 146*, 103070.

> https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2021.1 03070